

City of Tega Cay, South Carolina

Regular City Council Meeting Agenda

*Tega Cay Glennon Center - Lower Level
15077 Molokai Drive, Tega Cay, SC*

6:00 P.M. Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance and Moment of Silence

1. Joint Public Hearing With The Planning Commission

- 1.a. Rezoning Of TMS# 646-00-00-062 (Former Suite Property Currently Owned By City Adjacent To City Hall) From BD-1 In The County To GI In The City As A Part Of A 100 Percent Petition Annexation

Documents: [1A1 ANNEXATION PETITION_SIGNED_2216 GOLD HILL.PDF](#), [1A2 SURVEY FOR 2216 GOLD HILL.PDF](#), [1A3 ANNEXATION REPORT ON 2216 GOLD HILL ROAD.PDF](#), [1A4 STAFF REPORT_2216 GOLD HILL ROAD.PDF](#)

- 1.b. Rezoning Of TMS# 644-00-00-030 (Dam Rd.) From RUD In The County To B-2 In The City As A Part Of A 100 Percent Petition Annexation

Documents: [1B1 MARLOW ANNEXATION PETITION_SIGNED.PDF](#), [1B3 SURVEY_MARLOW PROPERTY.PDF](#), [1B4 ANNEXATION REPORT ON 2264 DAM ROAD.PDF](#), [1B5 STAFF REPORT_MARLOW PROPERTY.PDF](#)

- 1.c. Rezoning Of TMS#S 646-00-00-002, 646-00-00-004, 646-00-00-005, 646-00-00-006, 646-00-00-007, 646-00-00-013, 646-00-00-031, 644-01-01-029, And A Portion Of 646-00-00-018 From RUD In The County And B-2 In The City Of Tega Cay To PDD In The City (Windhaven)

Documents: [1C1 100 PERCENT PETITION FOR ANNEXATION_EXECUTED 1 6 2016.PDF](#), [1C4 ANNEXATION REPORT ON WINDHAVEN PDD.PDF](#), [1C5 STAFF REPORT_WINDHAVEN PDD.PDF](#)

- 1.d. Rezoning Of TMS#S 646-00-00-016 From B-1 (Neighborhood Business) To GI (Government & Institutional)- City Hall, 643-07-01-014 From B-2 (General Business) To GI (Police Station), A Portion Of 643-07-01-001 From B-1 To GI (Glennon Center), 644-01-01-345 From B-2 To PARK (Memorial Gardens), 644-01-01-020 From B-2 And PARK To PARK (Trailhead Park), 644-02-01-001 From B-1 To PARK (Trailhead Park- Restroom Area Next To Shoreline Parking Lot), 644-02-01-002 From B-1 To PARK (Shoreline Pkwy Parking Lot For Trailhead Park), 644-01-01-120 From B-1 To PARK (Gravel Lot And Pier Area Adjacent To Beach & Swim Center)

Documents: [1D1 STAFF REPORT_PROACTIVE REZONINGS.PDF](#), [1D2 6460000016_CITY HALL.PDF](#), [1D3 6430701014_POLICE DEPT.PDF](#), [1D4 64307001001-GLENNONCTR-TENNIS-WATER TOWER-CLUBHOUSE-PAVILION_ZONING MAP.PDF](#), [1D5 6440101345_MEMORIAL GARDEN.PDF](#), [1D6 6440101020_TRAILHEAD PARK.PDF](#), [1D7 6440201001_SHORELINE PARKING LOT.PDF](#), [1D8 6440201002_SHORELINE PARKING LOT 2.PDF](#), [1D9 6440101120 GRAVEL LOT BSC.PDF](#)

- 1.e. Rezoning Of TMS#S 644-02-01-014 From B-1 To GI (Gold Hill Elementary & Gold Hill Middle Schools), 644-01-01-250 From B-1 To GI (Tega Cay Elementary School)

Documents: [1E1 FMSD REZONING APP.PDF](#), [1E2 6440201014_GOLD HILL](#)

2. Public Comments

3. Approval Of Minutes

3.a. Regular City Council Meeting, January 19, 2016

Documents: [3A DRAFT MINUTES 1.19.16.PDF](#)

4. Special Presentations

4.a. SCDNR Deer Study

4.b. Mr. Putty's Fun Park

5. New Business

5.a. Award For Fire Department RFP

5.b. Planning Commission Recommendation Regarding Rezoning (Item 1)

5.c. Introduction And 1st Reading Of An Ordinance To Annex By 100 Percent Petition Tax Map Number 646-00-00-062 And To Rezone From BD-1 In The County To GI In The City

Documents: [5C1 ANNEXATION ORDINANCE -SUITE PROPERTY BY CITY HALL.PDF](#), [5C2 SURVEY FOR 2216 GOLD HILL.PDF](#)

5.d. Introduction And 1st Reading Of An Ordinance To Annex By 100 Percent Petition Tax Map Number 644-00-00-030 And To Rezone From RUD In The County To B-2 In The City

Documents: [5D1 ANNEXATION ORDINANCE - MARLOW PROPERTY.PDF](#), [5D2 SURVEY_MARLOW PROPERTY.PDF](#)

5.e. Introduction And 1st Reading Of An Ordinance To Annex By 100 Percent Petition Tax Map Numbers 646-00-00-002, 646-00-00-004, 646-00-00-005, 646-00-00-006, 646-00-00-007, 646-00-00-013, 646-00-00-031, 644-01-01-029, And A Portion Of 646-00-00-018 And To Rezone From RUD In The County To PDD In The City

Documents: [5E1 ANNEXATION ORDINANCE - WINDHAVEN.PDF](#)

5.f. Introduction And 1st Reading Of An Ordinance To Rezone Tax Map Numbers 646-00-00-016 From B-1 To GI, 643-07-01-014 From B-2 To GI, A Portion Of 643-07-01-001 From B-1 To GI, 644-01-01-345 From B-2 To PARK, 644-01-01-020 From B-2 And PARK To PARK, 644-02-01-001 From B-1 To PARK, 644-02-01-002 From B-1 To PARK, 644-01-01-120 From B-1 To PARK

Documents: [5F1 REZONING ORDINANCE - CITY PROPERTIES.PDF](#)

5.g. Introduction And 1st Reading Of An Ordinance To Rezone Tax Map Numbers 644-02-01-014 And 644-01-01-250 From B-1 To GI

Documents: [5G1 REZONING ORDINANCE - SCHOOL PROPERTIES.PDF](#)

5.h. Street Acceptance For Entirety Of Celandine Way, Bergamot St., Rosebud Ct., Basil Ct., Violet Ct. And Verbena Ct.; For The Remaining Portions Of Angelica Lane, Annatto Way, Rosemary Lane And Gladiola Way; And Sections Of Cilantro Ct (As Shown In Phase 1, Pod C, Map 2) And Coralbell Lane (As Shown In Phase 1, Pod C, Map 1 & Map 2), And Hazel St. (As Shown In Phase 1, Pod C, Map 3)

Documents: [5H1 STREET ACCEPTANCE.PDF](#)

- 5.i. Discussion Regarding Volunteer Opportunities/Committees
- 6. City Manager's Report
- 7. Public Comments
- 8. Council Comments
- 9. Executive Session
 - 9.a. Discussion Incident To Contractual Matters Related To Food And Beverage Services
- 10. Adjournment

100 Percent Petition Form

TO THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEGA CAY:

The undersigned, being 100 percent of the freeholders owning 100 percent of the assessed value of the property in the contiguous territory described below and shown on the attached plat or map, hereby petition for annexation of said territory to the City/Town by ordinance effective as soon hereafter as possible, pursuant to South Carolina Code Section 5-3-150(3).

The territory to be annexed is described as follows:

All that certain piece of property containing approximately 1.47 acres more or less and shown on the attached survey. The property is bounded on the north by lands now or formerly of Mrs. Caroline Dulin Helms, on the east by Gold Hill Road, on the west by Duke Power- Tega Cay Retail Substation, and on the south by Tega Cay City Hall.

The property is designated as follows on the County tax maps: TMS # 646-00-00-062

A plat or map of the area should be attached.

It is requested that the property be zoned as follows: Government and Institutional (GI)


Signature as City Manager Street Address, City Date 12/4/15

Signature Street Address, City Date

=====
For Municipal Use:

Petition received by Susan Brita, Date 12/4/15

Description and Ownership verified by Susan Brita, Date 12/4/15

Recommendation Favorable



By: Susan Brita, Date 12/4/15

- NOTES:
1. ALL DISTANCES ARE HORIZONTAL GROUND DISTANCES.
 2. BOUNDARY SURVEY COMPLETED SEPTEMBER 2, 2015.
 3. AREAS COMPUTED BY COORDINATE METHOD.
 4. TOTAL SITE AREA IS 0.9804 ACRES (42,707 SF).
 5. ORIENTATION BASED ON SC STATE PLANE GRID.
 6. THESE PROPERTIES MAY BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL EASEMENTS OR RIGHTS-OF-WAY.
 7. THIS SURVEY DONE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE REPORT.
 8. NO NEW PROPERTY LINES WERE ESTABLISHED WITH THIS PLAT.

LEGEND:

- R/W - RIGHT-OF-WAY
- PB PG - PLATBOOK - PAGE
- DB PG - DEEDBOOK - PAGE
- FD - FOUND
- BOC - BACK OF CURB
- P - PORCH
- ☼ - LIGHT POLE
- TP# - TAX PARCEL NUMBER
- CONC - CONCRETE
- - IRON SET
- - FOUND IRON
- △ - COMPUTED POINT
- ▣ - TELEPHONE PEDESTAL
- SIGN
- ◇ - FIBER OPTIC MARKER
- CATV - CABLE OR COMMUNICATIONS PEDESTAL
- AC - AIR CONDITIONING UNIT

REFERENCE:
PARCEL # 6460000062 - DB 14025 PG 193 & PB 98 PG 422



SUMMIT LAND SERVICES
P.O. BOX 7442
CHARLOTTE, NC 28241
OFFICE: 704.626.2800
FAX: 704.626.2805
WWW.SUMMIT-COMPANIES.COM

REVISIONS:

DATE	DESCRIPTION AND REVISIONS
11/20/15	ADDED METES AND BOUNDS OR SUBSTANCES ON GAPS AND OVERLAPS

CLIENT:
CITY OF TEGA CAY
P.O. BOX 3399
TEGA CAY, SOUTH CAROLINA 29708

PROJECT:
BOUNDARY SURVEY OF
PARCEL 6460000062
0.9804 ACRES
CITY OF TEGA CAY
YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

SHEET:
2216 GOLD HILL RD.dwg

PROJ. DATE: 9-15-2015
SCALE: 1" = 30'
DRAWN BY: RPE
CHECKED BY: KCH
PROJECT NO: 3489-15



SHEET
1

I HAVE EXAMINED THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FOR YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 45091C0211E WITH AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2008, AND HEREBY CERTIFY THAT NO PORTION OF THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA AS DETERMINED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY.

I, KENT C. HUDSON, PLS, CERTIFY TO THE FOLLOWING: THIS SURVEY IS OF AN EXISTING PARCEL OR PARCELS OF LAND. NO NEW PROPERTY LINES WERE ESTABLISHED WITH THIS PLAT.

Certification:
I, hereby state to the best of my professional knowledge, information and belief, the survey shown herein was made in accordance with the requirements of the Standards of Practice Manual for Land Surveying in South Carolina, and meets or exceeds the requirements for a class "A" survey as specified therein; also there are no visible encroachments, or projections other than shown.

Signed: *Kent Cooper Hudson*
Kent Cooper Hudson PLS#27451
PROUD MEMBER OF SCSPLS



Tega Cay Annexation Report on 2216 Gold Hill Road

(TMS#s 646-00-00-062)

The owner of tax parcel 644-00-0-030, the City of Tega Cay, did properly petition for incorporation of the above-referenced property into the municipal limits of Tega Cay via the 100% Free-Holder Method for annexation defined in Section 5-3-150 of the South Carolina Code of Laws. This report is compiled and presented to the City Council of the City of Tega Cay to assist in the analysis of this annexation request.

Property Description

The property under consideration for annexation consists of approximately 1.47 acres with 0.98 acres being occupied by a 1 story wood building and a gravel parking lot. The remainder of the acreage consists of a ROW easement and access drive to the Duke Power Tega Cay Substation. The property is located adjacent to the municipal boundary of the City of Tega Cay in the area to be generally described as that which lies to the North of Tega Cay City Hall, South of lands now or formerly of Mrs. Caroline Dulin Helms and East of Duke Power-Tega Cay Retail Substation and is further depicted on the Boundary Survey attached hereto.

Current County Zoning for Property: BD-1 (Business Development)

The purpose of this district is to provide certain areas within the county “small scale” commercial services and convenience uses. This district principally serves residential subdivisions and permits small scale professional offices as compatible supplements in these areas.

Zoning of Property Adjacent to Proposed Property

The property adjacent to this site on the South is zoned B-1 (Business District-Neighborhood) within the City of Tega Cay. The property adjacent to the West is zoned BD-1 (Business Development 1) in the County and contains the Duke Power Tega Cay Substation. The property generally to the North is also BD-1 in the County. The property immediately adjacent to the East is the location of the Tega Cay Memorial Gardens.

Future Development Projection

The proposed use for this property if annexed and rezoned would be as an annex to the adjacent City Hall and for future expansion of City services.

Annexation Evaluation Criteria

1. Does the proposed annexation conform to the current Tega Cay Comprehensive Plan?

The 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map calls for a Government and Institutional Center in the area where this property is located. The City has recently revised its Zoning Code to include a new Government and Institutional District (GI) in support of this goal.

The purpose of the Government and Institutional District (GI) within the City is to provide a wide variety of professional and government offices and institutions proximate to residential and more intense business districts so as to satisfy the City’s demand for services. The regulations for this district are designed to encourage the formation and

continuance of a quiet, compatible, and uncongested environment for government and institutional services intermingled with residential uses. This district is different from the other business districts within the City in that Retail Sales and Services are generally prohibited. Some limited retail uses may be allowed as a conditional or special exception use, subject to specific standards, and provided the primary purpose is to serve the office workers in the district. Multi-family and single-family residential uses, community facilities, and religious institutions are also allowed.

2. Does the petition meet the statutory requirements for annexation for the applicable petition method (reference the *S C Code Title 5, Chapter 3*)

YES.

3. Does the proposed annexation support efforts to ensure a highly rated local school system? What will be the impact to the capacity of the Fort Mill School District?

Since the annexation will be for GI uses and City services there will be no impact on school facilities.

4. What is the impact to the Tega Cay Parks and Recreation 10 Year Master Plan? How does the proposed annexation meet the objectives of Chapter 5 of the plan? Will the proposed annexation trigger the need for additional capital improvements?

The proposed development of this parcel is intended to supplement space for City services and therefore will complement the Recreation Master Plan administratively.

5. Will the proposed annexation provide pedestrian walkways and trails to provide connectivity throughout the developed areas? Will the walkways and trails provide connectivity to the following as applicable a) the local schools, b) the Nation Ford Greenway system and c) the Baxter Development?

The development of this parcel for City services will enhance connectivity to the adjacent City Hall and Tega Cay Elementary School.

6. What are the results of a comparison between the costs and the benefits of the annexation in regard to city's annual operating and capital expenditures and revenues?

The proposed development will have a positive fiscal impact for the City due to the enhancement of City services. While the purchase of the current City Hall property in 2012 allowed for expansion at that time, the demand on City services has steadily increased due to recent residential growth. In addition, the location of this property will allow for consolidation of government services in a centralized node thus creating cost/benefit efficiency.

Therefore it is staff's determination that the risks are negligible compared to the potential benefits to be derived from the proposed annexation.

7. Are there any financial considerations by the City to be applied as part of the proposed annexation?

Not at this time.

8. Will the proposed annexation continue to allow the Tega Cay Utilities Department (TCUD) to operate as a self-supporting business enterprise?

The site is currently served by York County utilities but is proposed to be served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities once

annexation is completed. Therefore, this annexation will allow for TCUD to continue to be self-supporting.

9. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing water supply system facilities and services?

As stated earlier, connection to the TCUD system will be completed after annexation of the property. The availability and capacity of City lines within the nearby vicinity of the site are more than adequate for the development of the site as proposed.

10. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing sewer system facilities and services?

Same as above.

11. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing solid waste disposal?

There would be no immediate impact until the parcel is developed. The current proposed use will be as an annex to City Hall to provide for additional parking. Future plans are yet to be determined.

12. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing police protection services and facilities? Is there a need for a crime statistics analysis?

There should be no new added expenses or necessary studies since the subject property is within the current Police and Fire service area based on jurisdictional agreements with York County.

13. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing fire protection services and facilities?

Same as above.

14. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on road infrastructure and utilities? Is there a need for a traffic study?

The annexation of the subject property will have limited effect on Tega Cay Drive due to its intended use for City services. The City intends to construct an internal drive to connect this property with the adjacent City Hall parking lot. This will allow for ingress/egress to the site at the signalized intersection of Shoreline Parkway and Tega Cay Drive.

15. Are there special circumstances involved such as failing septic systems, underground storage tanks, contaminated wells, the delineation of wetlands, previous uses of the land, etc. Is there a need for a ASTM Phase I environmental study (ASTM E1527-00)?

NO.

16. Will the proposed annexation adopt policies that protect the water quality of Lake Wylie?

This question is not applicable to this annexation proposal.

17. Does the proposed annexation provide provisions for the preservation and/or restoration of natural, cultural or historic areas and/or structures?

This question is not applicable to this annexation proposal.

18. What is the impact from not annexing the property into the city? If applicable, when the proposed development occurs outside of the city, what will be the resultant impact to the city?

Should the City not pursue annexation there could be substantial negative impact to the City's future growth. As stated earlier, the demand on City services has steadily increased due to recent residential growth. The location of this property will allow for consolidation of government services in a centralized node and is critical to the City's ability to meet the needs of its citizens.

19. Is the location of the proposed annexation strategic?

As stated earlier, annexation of this property is critical to the future growth plans of the City. Therefore it is strategic in that the City needs to expand its provision of services in a centralized location. In addition, expansion of utilities to this location will allow for future growth through potential annexations along Gold Hill Road.

20. Are there any other extenuating circumstances or factors which enhance or diminish the proposed annexation?

No.

REZONING CASE 2016-01

TO: PLANNING COMMISSION
FROM: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DATE: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016
APPLICANT: CITY OF TEGA CAY
REQUEST: TMS # 646-00-00-062- REQUEST TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY 1.47 ACRES FROM BD-1 IN THE COUNTY TO GI IN THE CITY

Staff Summary:

The City purchased this property in late 2015 as an annex to the adjacent City Hall and for future expansion of City services. The property is bounded on the north by lands now or formerly of Mrs. Caroline Dulin Helms, on the east by Gold Hill Road, on the west by Duke Power-Tega Cay Retail Substation, and on the south by Tega Cay City Hall.

The City is proposing to rezone this parcel to Government and Institutional in compliance with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan. The 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map calls for a Government and Institutional Center in the area where this property is located.

This property is currently zoned in the County as BD-1 (Business Development) in the county. The purpose of this district is to provide certain areas within the county "small scale" commercial services and convenience uses. This district principally serves residential subdivisions and permits small scale professional offices as compatible supplements in these areas.

The purpose of the Government and Institutional District (GI) within the City is to provide a wide variety of professional and government offices and institutions proximate to residential and more intense business districts so as to satisfy the City's demand for services. The regulations for this district are designed to encourage the formation and continuance of a quiet, compatible, and uncongested environment for government and institutional services intermingled with residential uses. This district is different from the other business districts within the City in that Retail Sales and Services are generally prohibited. Some limited retail uses may be allowed as a conditional or special exception use, subject to specific standards, and provided the primary purpose is to serve the office workers in the district. Multi-family and single-family residential uses, community facilities, and religious institutions are also allowed.

Staff believes that the Annexation and Rezoning is appropriate because 1) it is compatible with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan; 2) the site is located in a transitioning area from traditional single family residential uses to more public and commercial uses.

Potential Benefits:

1. The proposed rezoning and annexation will assist the city in meeting goals outlined in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

Potential Concerns: There are no potential concerns.

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL based on the information prepared by staff outlined in this Planning Commission Report.

1. *Is there a need for the proposed amendment?*

Based on the staff analysis and findings for the planning commission report, the staff has recognized a positive benefit for the rezoning of the site and annexation by meeting a major goal of the of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

2. *What is the impact of the proposed zoning amendment on the site and surrounding properties?*

The site is located adjacent to the current City Hall and across the street from the Memorial Gardens. Also located nearby are Trailhead Park and the Tega Cay Elementary School. This is evidence that the area has already began to transition into public and civic uses. Therefore the impact on surrounding residential properties would be minimal and would actually complement the current property owners.

3. *What is the relationship of this request to the official land use development plan?*

The Future Land Use Map of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan calls for Governmental and Institutional Uses in this area. Annexation of this particular property will assist the City in accomplishing the City's goals outlined in the Plan. Therefore, this request **IS CONSISTENT** with the Comprehensive Plan.

4. *Is there vacant land currently classified for similar development in the vicinity; and/or are there any special circumstances that may make a substantial part of such vacant land unavailable for development?*

Currently, **THERE IS NO** vacant land zoned for GI uses within the vicinity of the subject site. However, it is the City's intent to proactively rezone current City-owned sites in the area for similar uses in 2016.

5. *Are the uses permitted by the proposed change appropriate in the area concerned?*

The uses permitted under the proposed annexation and rezoning **IS APPROPRIATE** for the area due to its location in an area transitioning from traditional residential uses to more public, civic, and commercial uses as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.

6. *Are the existing public school facilities adequate and available or can they be provided to serve the needs of the development likely to take place as a result of such change?*

N/A. Since the annexation will be for GI uses and City Services there will be no impact on school facilities.

7. *Are the existing road systems adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: traffic counts, road design and capacity, site plan design, trips generated by the proposed land use, etc.:*

The annexation of the subject property will have limited effect on Tega Cay Drive due to its intended use for City services. The City intends to construct an internal drive to connect this property with the adjacent City Hall parking lot. This will allow for ingress/egress to the site at the signalized intersection of Shoreline Parkway and Tega Cay Drive. Therefore the existing roads **ARE ADEQUATE.**

8. *Are the existing public facilities adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: availability of public water and sewer, whether the proposed change is in accordance with any existing or proposed plans for providing public water and sewer, and does the any existing storm drainage system have capacity to accommodate the proposed use:*

The site is currently served by York County utilities but is proposed to be served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities once annexation is completed. Therefore, existing public facilities **ARE ADEQUATE.**

9. *What soils exist on the site, and are any of them considered Hydric (wetlands) or Prime?*

The soils present on site are Cecil Clay Loam with red or brown, firm clay subsoil and **IS considered a PRIME soil.** **No Hydric** soils have been identified onsite.

10. *Have floodplains been identified on the site?*

There **NO FLOODPLAINS** identified onsite.

11. *Have any historical sites/ endangered species/ abandoned cemeteries located on the site or in the general vicinity been identified?*

THERE ARE NO apparent historical sites, endangered species or abandoned cemeteries onsite.

100 Percent Petition Form

TO THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEGA CAY:

The undersigned, being 100 percent of the freeholders owning 100 percent of the assessed value of the property in the contiguous territory described below and shown on the attached plat or map, hereby petition for annexation of said territory to the City/Town by ordinance effective as soon hereafter as possible, pursuant to South Carolina Code Section 5-3-150(3).

The territory to be annexed is described as follows:

All that certain piece of property containing approximately 4.24 acres more or less and shown on the attached survey. The property is bounded on the north by lands now or formerly owned by Springland Inc., on the west by Dam Road, on the east by Gold Hill Elementary & Middle Schools, and on the south by lands now or formerly owned by Darryl G. McDaniel

The property is designated as follows on the County tax maps: TMS # 6440000030 and zoned as RUD

A plat or map of the area should be attached.

It is requested that the property be zoned as follows: B-2

Joyce Marlow 2264 Dam Rd, Fort Mill SC 1/19/16
Signature Street Address, City Date

Signature Street Address, City Date


=====
For Municipal Use:

Petition received by _____, Date _____

Description and Ownership verified by _____, Date _____


Recommendation _____

By: _____, Date _____



LEGEND:

- EIP = EXISTING IRON PIN
- OIP = OLD IRON PIPE
- SIP = SET IRON PIN
- PWR = POWER PAD
- PM = POWER METER
- GM = GAS METER
- AC = AIR CONDITIONING
- TELE = TELEPHONE PEDESTAL
- CATV = CABLE TELEVISION
- WM = WATER METER
- R/W = RIGHT OF WAY
- PP = POWER POLE
- LP = LIGHT POLE
- CO = SEWER CLEAN OUT
- YI = YARD INLET
- FES = FLARED END SECTION
- CB = CATCH BASIN
- SDE = STORM DRAINAGE EASEMENT
- SSE = SANITARY SEWER EASEMENT
- SDMH = STORM DRAIN MANHOLE
- SSMH = SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE
- BC = BACK OF CURB
- OE = OVERHEAD ELECTRICITY
- CP = COVERED PORCH



- NOTES:**
1. IRON PINS SET AT ALL CORNERS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 2. PROPERTY ZONED RUD (SETBACKS ARE SUBJECT TO AN INTERPRETATION FROM THE ZONING BOARD)
 3. TAX PARCEL NUMBER 6440000030.
 4. DEED REFERENCE: DB 525 PG 189.
 5. BOUNDARY SURVEY ONLY THROUGH POINTS AS SHOWN.
 6. THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN AN AREA HAVING A ZONE DESIGNATION X BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA), ON FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM) NO. 45091C0211E, WITH A DATE OF IDENTIFICATION OF 09/26/2008.
 7. THIS PROPERTY MAY BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL RECORDED OR UNRECORDED EASEMENTS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, OR RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS, OTHER THAN SHOWN. SURVEY MADE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE EXAMINATION.
 8. AREA COMPUTED BY COORDINATED METHOD.
 10. UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE LOCATED BASED ON SITE CONDITIONS AT THE TIME OF SURVEY. CONTRACTORS ARE TO HAVE ALL UTILITIES ACCURATELY MARKED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
 11. (FEMA NOTE) ANY CONSTRUCTION OR USE WITHIN ANY DELINEATED FLOOD AREA IS SUBJECT TO THE RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY THE FLOODWAY REGULATIONS.
 12. NO NEW LOTS OR PROPERTY LINES ESTABLISHED.

~NOW OR FORMERLY~
FORT MILL SCHOOL DIST #4
DB 326/349
PB 109/106

~NOW OR FORMERLY~
SPRINGLAND, INC
DB 548/833



GR



GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)
1" = 60 ft.

I HEREBY CERTIFY TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION, AND BELIEF, THE SURVEY SHOWN HEREON WAS MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MINIMUM STANDARDS MANUAL FOR THE PRACTICE OF LAND SURVEYING IN SOUTH CAROLINA, AND MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A CLASS "A" SURVEY AS SPECIFIED THEREIN; ALSO, THERE ARE NO VISIBLE ENCROACHMENTS OR PROJECTIONS OTHER THAN SHOWN.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 27 DAY OF MAY, 2015.
Hugh E. White, Jr.
HUGH E. WHITE, JR. PLS #93258


DATE	REVISIONS:	SCALE: 1" = 60'
	2015/DAM ROAD	
	2015/DAM ROAD	
	DRAWN BY: NB	
	CHECKED BY: HW	
	FIELD WORK: JH/EV	
		MAY 27, 2015



CAROLINA SURVEYORS, INC.
HUGH E. WHITE, JR., N.C. & S.C. LICENSE NO. 889-7501
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION #16-1512 SC:888



~NOW OR FORMERLY~
DARRYL G & KIMBERLY M McDANIEL
DB 6951/7
PB C370/5



JOYCE MARLOWE RAINES
DB 525/199
PB 41/202
~4.062 ACRES~

N26°01'00"E 908.30
(BEARING BASIS)

**DAM ROAD
RIGHT OF WAY UNKNOWN**

- SIP ⊙ SET IRON PIN
- EIP ⊙ EXISTING IRON PIN
- CP ⊙ CALCULATED POINT
- GV ⊙ GAS VALVE
- WV ⊙ WATER VALVE
- MB ⊙ MAIL BOX
- WM ⊙ WATER METER
- FH ⊙ FIRE HYDRANT
- SSMH ⊙ SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE
- SDMH ⊙ STORM DRAIN MANHOLE
- ⊙ LOCATED TREE/SHRUB
- LP ⊙ LIGHT POLE
- ⊙ TELECOMMUNICATION BOX
- TP ⊙ TELECOMMUNICATIONS PEDESTAL
- PP ⊙ POWER POLE
- BFP ⊙ BACK FLOW PREVENTOR
- GW ⊙ GUY WIRE
- CB ⊙ CATCH BASIN
- DI ⊙ DROP INLET
- x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x- CHAIN LINK FENCE
- - - - - OVERHEAD ELECTRIC

A BOUNDARY SURVEY SHOWING
#2264 DAM ROAD
(NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF SC HWY 160)
SURVEYED FOR: JOYCE MARLOWE RAINES
AREA: 4.062 ACRES
FORT MILL TOWNSHIP, YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

Tega Cay Annexation Report on 2264 Dam Road
(TMS#s 644-00-00-030)

The owner of tax parcel 644-00-00-030, the City of Tega Cay, did properly petition for incorporation of the above-referenced property into the municipal limits of Tega Cay via the 100% Free-Holder Method for annexation defined in Section 5-3-150 of the South Carolina Code of Laws. This report is compiled and presented to the City Council of the City of Tega Cay to assist in the analysis of this annexation request.

Property Description

The property under consideration for annexation consists of approximately 4.24 acres as depicted on the Boundary Survey attached hereto. The property is bounded on the north by lands now or formerly owned by Springland Inc., on the west by Dam Road, on the east by Gold Hill Elementary & Middle Schools, and on the south by lands now or formerly owned by Darryl G. McDaniel.

Current County Zoning for Property: RUD (Rural Residential)

The purpose of this district is to protect and preserve areas of the county, which are presently rural in character and use. RUD is a zoning classification which permits a development density of 1 residential unit per lot no matter how large the lot is. General commercial uses are prohibited in this classification.

Zoning of Property Adjacent to Proposed Property

The properties adjacent to this site on the North and Northwest are zoned B-2 (General Business District) and PDD (Planned Development District) within the City of Tega Cay. The property to the East is currently zoned B-2 (General Business District) within the City but is undergoing a re-zoning to GI (Government and Institutional). The properties adjacent to the North and South are zoned RUD (Rural Residential) in the County.

Future Development Projection

The requested zoning designation of B-2 would permit a variety of retail, commercial, and professional uses. The use proposed in the zoning petition for this property is as an operations facility which is a by-right commercial use in the B-2 zoning classification.

Annexation Evaluation Criteria

1. Does the proposed annexation conform to the current Tega Cay Comprehensive Plan?

The 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map identifies the subject property as being located in a mixed-use area which calls for diversity of commercial services and professional uses that integrate flexible design standards compatible with the principles

outlined in the Plan. The intended use as an operations facility is highly compatible with the intent of the Plan.

- 2. Does the petition meet the statutory requirements for annexation for the applicable petition method (reference the *S C Code Title 5, Chapter 3*)**

YES.

- 3. Does the proposed annexation support efforts to ensure a highly rated local school system? What will be the impact to the capacity of the Fort Mill School District?**

Since the annexation will be for commercial uses there will be no impact on school facilities.

- 4. What is the impact to the Tega Cay Parks and Recreation 10 Year Master Plan? How does the proposed annexation meet the objectives of Chapter 5 of the plan? Will the proposed annexation trigger the need for additional capital improvements?**

The proposed development of this parcel is intended to be commercial and therefore is not applicable to the Recreation Master Plan.

- 5. Will the proposed annexation provide pedestrian walkways and trails to provide connectivity throughout the developed areas? Will the walkways and trails provide connectivity to the following as applicable a) the local schools, b) the Nation Ford Greenway system and c) the Baxter Development?**

The development of this parcel for an operations center is not conducive to pedestrian access and therefore only internal connections will be provided.

- 6. What are the results of a comparison between the costs and the benefits of the annexation in regard to city's annual operating and capital expenditures and revenues?**

The proposed zoning for the property if annexed will have a positive fiscal impact for the City due to the additional tax base as a commercial entity. In addition to the tax rate, there will a positive impact due to the revenue derived from the issuance of City business licenses. Also, since the property is currently rural and residential in nature there are no public utilities onsite. Commercial uses will require a tap on the TCUD lines along Dam Road. Additional revenue will be generated by the tap and usage fees. There will be limited impact on Police and Fire services but it is staff's determination that the risks are negligible compared to the potential benefits to be derived from the proposed annexation.

- 7. Are there any financial considerations by the City to be applied as part of the proposed annexation?**

Not at this time.

- 8. Will the proposed annexation continue to allow the Tega Cay Utilities Department (TCUD) to operate as a self-supporting business enterprise?**

The site is currently served by well and septic but is proposed to be served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities once annexation is

completed. Therefore, this annexation will allow for TCUD to continue to be self-supporting.

9. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing water supply system facilities and services?

As stated earlier, connection to the TCUD system will be completed after annexation of the property. The availability and capacity of City lines within the nearby vicinity of the site are more than adequate for the development of the site as proposed.

10. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing sewer system facilities and services?

Same as above.

11. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing solid waste disposal?

Since the proposed zoning is commercial there will be no impact.

12. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing police protection services and facilities? Is there a need for a crime statistics analysis?

There should be no immediate added expenses or necessary studies since the subject property is within the current Police and Fire service area based on jurisdictional agreements with York County.

13. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing fire protection services and facilities?

Same as above.

14. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on road infrastructure and utilities? Is there a need for a traffic study?

The annexation of the subject property will have limited effect on Dam Road due to its intended use as an operations facility. However, included in the Site Plan requirements is the completion of a Traffic Impact Analysis based on the proposed use. Based upon the findings in this study there may be mitigation required due to any identified impacts on the transportation system. These may include such items as a dedicated turning lane or improvements to the signalized intersection at Dam Road and Hwy 160. However, the impacts and subsequent mitigation requirements are UNKNOWN at this time.

15. Are there special circumstances involved such as failing septic systems, underground storage tanks, contaminated wells, the delineation of wetlands, previous uses of the land, etc. Is there a need for a ASTM Phase I environmental study (ASTM E1527-00)?

NO.

16. Will the proposed annexation adopt policies that protect the water quality of Lake Wylie?

This question is not applicable to this annexation proposal.

17. Does the proposed annexation provide provisions for the preservation and/or restoration of natural, cultural or historic areas and/or structures?

This question is not applicable to this annexation proposal.

18. What is the impact from not annexing the property into the city? If applicable, when the proposed development occurs outside of the city, what will be the resultant impact to the city?

Should the City not pursue annexation there are already substantial negative impacts due to the current rural residential uses on the property. The location of this property is along a corridor that is considered to be the City's gateway to several high-end residential and commercial developments. Currently the property contains several mobile homes which are not conducive to the gateway atmosphere. Annexation of this site with a commercial zoning designation will greatly assist in the enhancement and implementation of the gateway concept.

19. Is the location of the proposed annexation strategic?

Annexation of this property is critical to the future growth plans of the City. Therefore it is strategic in that the City needs to expand its provision of services in this growing and highly desirable location. In addition, expansion of utilities to this location will allow for future growth through potential annexations along Dam Road.

20. Are there any other extenuating circumstances or factors which enhance or diminish the proposed annexation?

No.

REZONING CASE 2016-02

TO: PLANNING COMMISSION
FROM: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DATE: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016
APPLICANT: JOYCE MARLOW
REQUEST: TMS # 644-00-00-030- REQUEST TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY 4.24 ACRES FROM RUD IN THE COUNTY TO B-2 IN THE CITY

Staff Summary:

The subject property is being proposed for annexation and rezoning as an operations facility which is a by-right use in the B-2 district. This zoning district is a general business district which would permit a variety of commercial, retail, office, and professional uses.

The property is bounded on the north by lands now or formerly owned by Springland Inc., on the east by Gold Hill Elementary and Middle Schools, on the west by Dam Road, and on the south by lands now or formerly owned by Darryl G. McDaniel.

The site is currently zoned RUD (rural residential) in the County. RUD is a zoning classification which permits a development density of 1 residential unit per lot no matter how large the lot is. General commercial uses are prohibited in this classification. It is intended to protect and preserve areas of the county, which are presently rural in character and use. The requested rezoning designation is B-2 (General Business District). The purpose of the B-2 district is to create and protect business centers for the retailing of merchandise and for the carrying on of professional and business services and is generally located adjacent to major thoroughfares.

The subject property is located in an identified mixed-use area in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan which calls for a diversity of commercial services and professional uses that integrate flexible design standards compatible with the principles outlined in the Plan. Staff believes that the Annexation and Rezoning is appropriate because 1) it is compatible with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan; 2) the site is located in a transitioning area from traditional single family residential uses to commercial uses.

Potential Benefits:

1. The proposed rezoning and annexation will assist the city in meeting goals outlined in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

Potential Concerns: There are no potential concerns.

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL based on the information prepared by staff outlined in this Planning Commission Report.

1. Is there a need for the proposed amendment?

Based on the staff analysis and findings for the planning commission report, the staff has recognized a positive benefit for the rezoning of the site and annexation by meeting a major goal of the of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

2. What is the impact of the proposed zoning amendment on the site and surrounding properties?

The site is located in an area of the Dam Road corridor that is transitioning from rural residential uses in a suburban pattern to more commercial uses in an urban setting. Located nearby are the major commercial developments in the Stonecrest Planned Development District including Walmart. Also located nearby is the Auto Impound Facility that is currently being utilized by the Tega Cay Police Department. The intended use as an operations facility by is highly compatible with these uses. In addition the property also abuts the Gold Hill Middle and Elementary Schools and the proposed use and subsequent high development standards will serve to buffer the school from the more retail uses in Stonecrest. Therefore the impact on surrounding properties would be minimal and would actually complement the current property owners.

3. What is the relationship of this request to the official land use development plan?

The Future Land Use Map of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan calls for mixed uses in this area. Annexation of this particular property will assist the City in accomplishing the City's goals outlined in the Plan. Therefore, this request **IS CONSISTENT** with the Comprehensive Plan.

4. Is there vacant land currently classified for similar development in the vicinity; and/or are there any special circumstances that may make a substantial part of such vacant land unavailable for development?

Currently, **THERE IS** vacant land zoned for similar uses within the vicinity of the subject site. However, these properties are mainly in the Stonecrest Planned Development District. **THERE ARE NO** vacant lands in the vicinity available for commercial uses in the county's jurisdiction.

5. Are the uses permitted by the proposed change appropriate in the area concerned?

The uses permitted under the proposed annexation and rezoning **IS APPROPRIATE** for the area due to its location in an area transitioning from traditional residential uses to more commercial and mixed uses as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.

6. Are the existing public school facilities adequate and available or can they be provided to serve the needs of the development likely to take place as a result of such change?

N/A. Since the annexation will be for business uses there will be no impact on school facilities.

7. Are the existing road systems adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change?

This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: traffic counts, road design and capacity, site plan design, trips generated by the proposed land use, etc.:

The annexation of the subject property will have limited effect on Dam Road due to its intended use as an operations facility. However, included in the Site Plan requirements is the completion of a Traffic Impact Analysis based on the proposed use. Based upon the findings in this study there may be mitigation required due to any identified impacts on the transportation system. These may include such items as a dedicated turning lane or improvements to the signalized intersection at Dam Road and Hwy 160. However, the impacts and subsequent mitigation requirements are **UNKNOWN** at this time.

8. Are the existing public facilities adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: availability of public water and sewer, whether the proposed change is in accordance with any existing or proposed plans for providing public water and sewer, and does the any existing storm drainage system have capacity to accommodate the proposed use:

The site is currently served by well and septic but is proposed to be served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities once annexation is completed. Therefore, existing public facilities **ARE ADEQUATE.**

9. What soils exist on the site, and are any of them considered Hydric (wetlands) or Prime?

The soils present on site are Cecil Clay Loam with red or brown, firm clay subsoil and **IS considered a PRIME soil.** No Hydric soils have been identified onsite.

10. Have floodplains been identified on the site?

There **NO FLOODPLAINS** identified onsite.

11. Have any historical sites/ endangered species/ abandoned cemeteries located on the site or in the general vicinity been identified?

THERE ARE NO apparent historical sites, endangered species or abandoned cemeteries onsite.

100 Percent Petition Form

TO THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEGA CAY:

The undersigned, being 100 percent of the freeholders owning 100 percent of the assessed value of the property in the contiguous territory described below and shown on the attached plat or map, hereby petition for annexation of said territory to the City/Town by ordinance effective as soon hereafter as possible, pursuant to South Carolina Code Section 5-3-150(3).

The territory to be annexed is described as follows:

See Attached Rezoning Plan

The property is designated as follows on the County tax maps:

See Attached Rezoning Plan and Joinder Agreements – PIN #'s 6460000002, 6460000004, 6460000005, 6460000006, 6460000007, 6460000013, 6460000018, 6440101029 and 6460000031

A plat or map of the area should be attached.

See Attached Rezoning Plan

It is requested that the property be zoned as follows: PDD

SEE ATTACHED JOINDER AGREEMENTS



DEVELOPMENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, 11121 Carmel Rd., Ste 360, Charlotte NC 28226

Signature

Street Address, City

Date

Signature

Street Address, City

Date

For Municipal Use:

Petition received by _____, Date _____

Description and Ownership verified by _____, Date _____

Recommendation _____

By: _____, Date _____

Tega Cay Annexation Report on Windhaven PDD

(TMS#s 646-0-00-002, 646-00-00-004, 646-00-00-006, 646-00-00-007, 646-00-00-013, 646-00-00-031, 644-01-01-029 and a portion of 646-00-00-00-018)

Development Solutions Group, LLC, the developer and agent of the owner of tax parcels listed above, did properly petition the City of Tega Cay for incorporation of the above-referenced property into the municipal limits of Tega Cay via the 100% Free-Holder Method for annexation defined in Section 5-3-150 of the South Carolina Code of Laws. This report is compiled and presented to the City Council of the City of Tega Cay to assist in the analysis of this annexation request.

Property Description

The property under consideration for annexation consists of approximately 122.2 acres and is located adjacent to the municipal boundary of the City of Tega Cay in the area to be generally described as that which lies to the North and Northwest of Gold Hill Road at the intersection gold Hill and Deer Creek Drive and is further depicted on the Master Plan attached hereto.

Current County Zoning for Property: RUD (Rural Residential)

The purpose of this district is to protect and preserve areas of the county, which are presently rural in character and use. RUD is a zoning classification which permits a development density of 1 residential unit per lot no matter how large the lot is. General commercial uses are prohibited in this classification.

Zoning of Property Adjacent to Proposed Property

The property adjacent to this site on the North is also zoned RUD in the County. The property to the Northwest is zoned RC-II (Residential Conservation) in the County. The property to the South is within the City's jurisdiction and is zoned B-2. However, it is currently in the process of being rezoned as GI (Government and Institutional) due to its use as an elementary school. Located to the East of this site is Palmetto Plantation West subdivision in the County. The property West of the site is zoned B-2 in the City and is a former cellulose landfill.

Future Development Projection

The proposed use for this property if annexed and rezoned would be a mix of uses including residential, commercial, recreational, government and institutional, as well as the potential location of a new middle school. Total residential units permitted would not exceed 680. These are broken down into a maximum of 180 SF detached dwelling units, a maximum of 125 SF attached (townhomes), 125 patio homes, and 250 condominium units. Commercial and Mixed Use would not exceed 150,000 square feet and Government and Institutional would not exceed 500,000 square feet. In addition, the development contains a substantial recreational component. The location of this proposed development was identified in the City's Growth Management Strategic Plan as an important target for annexation. This was due to the potential for a greater

level and intensity of residential development that could be permitted under the County's Comprehensive Plan. Should the City not pursue annexation there could be substantial negative impact to the City's future growth. Therefore, staff believes that the proposed densities meet the intent of the City's future land use needs in regards to overall development.

Annexation Evaluation Criteria

1. Does the proposed annexation conform to the current Tega Cay Comprehensive Plan?

The subject property is located in area identified in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Map as containing a mix of residential, government and institutional, and a diversity of commercial services and professional uses that integrate flexible design standards compatible with the principles outlined in the Plan. It is also generally in the location that has been identified as a future growth area in the Plan. The City's Growth Management Strategy calls for expansion of the City's boundaries through strategic annexation that provides benefit whether by increasing commercial tax base, gaining access to infrastructure or some other community goal. Annexation of this particular property will assist the City in accomplishing the City's goals by serving as a tool to ward off political encroachment by other entities, providing assurance that the City has the determination for appropriate land uses, and assisting the City in maintaining a high Municipal Bond Rating (A City's ability to annex land from its surrounding county is a primary determinant of its fiscal health).

2. Does the petition meet the statutory requirements for annexation for the applicable petition method (reference the *S C Code Title 5, Chapter 3*)

YES.

3. Does the proposed annexation support efforts to ensure a highly rated local school system? What will be the impact to the capacity of the Fort Mill School District?

Since the annexation and rezoning plan contains a mix of uses including residential, commercial, and institutional, as well as the potential location of a new middle school, any adverse impacts due to purely residential growth would be mitigated. In addition, the residential component of the development will be required to pay the FMSD Impact Fee of \$2500 per residential unit. This money is intended for use as capital investment in new facilities.

4. What is the impact to the Tega Cay Parks and Recreation 10 Year Master Plan? How does the proposed annexation meet the objectives of Chapter 5 of the plan? Will the proposed annexation trigger the need for additional capital improvements?

The proposed development includes a substantial acreage which is to be donated to the City to provide for recreational opportunities to be utilized by all citizens. In addition amenities such as a clubhouse, pool and tot lot that are included and designed for neighborhood use. There should be no negative impacts in regards to required investment in additional capital improvements to meet the recreational needs of the additional residents. There could be some impacts in regards to future development of the proposed park.

- 5. Will the proposed annexation provide pedestrian walkways and trails to provide connectivity throughout the developed areas? Will the walkways and trails provide connectivity to the following as applicable a) the local schools, b) the Nation Ford Greenway system and c) the Baxter Development?**

As indicated in the PDD Development Standards annexation and development of this site provide pedestrian amenities including sidewalks and interconnected trails designed for future access to a City-wide trail system.

- 6. What are the results of a comparison between the costs and the benefits of the annexation in regard to city's annual operating and capital expenditures and revenues?**

The proposed development will have a positive fiscal impact for the City due to the additional tax base. In addition to the diversity of tax rates, there will a positive impact due to the revenue derived from the issuance of City business licenses for the commercial and mixed use elements. Also, since the property is currently rural and residential in nature there are no public utilities onsite. Development of this site will require a tap on the TCUD system. Additional revenue will be generated by the number of taps and usage fees. Extending the City's infrastructure further along property adjoining Dam Road will assist the City in appropriate expansion and upgrade of utilities.

There will also be future needs in regards to adequate provision of necessary City services once the development is built-out such as additional personnel and equipment. However, since the development will occur in phases and will not come completely online for several years, there should be sufficient time to adequately budget for the expenditures and to balance this with appropriated income. Detailed net cost/benefit projections demonstrate sufficient positive revenue in excess of expenditures.

Therefore it is staff's determination that the risks are negligible compared to the potential benefits to be derived from the proposed annexation.

- 7. Are there any financial considerations by the City to be applied as part of the proposed annexation?**

Not at this time.

- 8. Will the proposed annexation continue to allow the Tega Cay Utilities Department (TCUD) to operate as a self-supporting business enterprise?**

As outlined earlier, connection to the TCUD system will allow the expansion of services further along Gold Hill road. Depending upon future end users, there could be a significant positive financial input.

- 9. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing water supply system facilities and services?**

As stated earlier, connection to the TCUD system will allow the expansion of services further along Gold Hill Road. The availability and capacity of City lines adjacent to the site are more than adequate for the proposed development.

10. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing sewer system facilities and services?

Same as above.

11. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing solid waste disposal?

There would be no immediate impact until the development has reached the dwelling unit sale stage. The applicant proposes to develop the property in phases, thus minimizing the impacts as each dwelling unit is sold.

12. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing police protection services and facilities? Is there a need for a crime statistics analysis?

There should be no new immediately added expenses or necessary studies since the subject property is within the current Police and Fire service area based on jurisdictional agreements with York County. However, the final build-out of the development will create future needs for both police and fire. Since the development will occur in phases, the City will have more than adequate time to prepare and budget for additional personnel and equipment and to balance these expenditures with the increase in positive net revenues generated by the development.

13. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on existing fire protection services and facilities?

Same as above.

14. What is the impact of the proposed annexation on road infrastructure and utilities? Is there a need for a traffic study?

The annexation of the subject property will have some effect on Gold Hill Road due to the variety and mix of uses. However, included in the Preliminary Plat requirements is the completion of a Traffic Impact Analysis based on the proposed uses. Included in the findings of this study there will most likely be mitigation required due to any identified impacts on the transportation system. These may include such items as a dedicated turning lane, right-in/right-out only lanes or improvements to the planned signalized intersection at Deer Creek and Gold Hill Road. However, the impacts and subsequent mitigation requirements are UNKNOWN at this time.

15. Are there special circumstances involved such as failing septic systems, underground storage tanks, contaminated wells, the delineation of wetlands, previous uses of the land, etc. Is there a need for a ASTM Phase I environmental study (ASTM E1527-00)?

NO.

16. Will the proposed annexation adopt policies that protect the water quality of Lake Wylie?

This question is not applicable to this annexation proposal.

17. Does the proposed annexation provide provisions for the preservation and/or restoration of natural, cultural or historic areas and/or structures?

The proposed development contains significant preservation of open space at approximately 20 percent. In addition, there is a substantial recreational element of approximately 20 acres.

18. What is the impact from not annexing the property into the city? If applicable, when the proposed development occurs outside of the city, what will be the resultant impact to the city?

Should the City not pursue annexation there could be substantial negative impact to the City's future growth. Annexations are critical to the City of Tega Cay in planning for our future. It is important for us to respond to pressures of growth by ensuring urban development is comprehensively planned, serviced, and appropriately located. Strategic annexation assures that the City has some determination in where and what land uses are appropriate (if not, then private developers will determine urban growth. This would limit the City's ability to control appropriate land uses, densities, preservation of natural lands and transportation impacts and mitigation. In order for the City to provide services as efficiently as possible the City has identified logical areas for future growth, development and provision of services, including the Gold Hill Road corridor and this site in particular. The most effective way for the City to manage growth is to annex the area into the City.

19. Is the location of the proposed annexation strategic?

As stated earlier, strategic annexation assures that the City has some determination in where and what land uses are appropriate (if not, then private developers will determine urban growth). The City has developed a Growth Management Strategy which includes prioritized properties targeted for annexation. This site is ranked high on that property list. Therefore, annexation of this property is essential to the City's Growth Management Strategy and long-term development policy.

20. Are there any other extenuating circumstances or factors which enhance or diminish the proposed annexation?

See the above.

REZONING CASE 2016-04

TO: PLANNING COMMISSION
FROM: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DATE: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016
APPLICANT: DEVELOPMENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC
REQUEST: TMS # 646-00-00-002, 646-00-00-004, 646-00-00-005, 646-00-00-006, 646-00-00-007, 646-00-00-013, 646-00-00-031, 644-01-01-029, and a portion of 646-00-00-018- REQUEST TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY 122.2 ACRES FROM RUD IN THE COUNTY AND B-2 IN THE CITY TO PDD IN THE CITY

Staff Summary:

The applicant is proposing to annex and rezone approximately 122.2 acres along Gold Hill Road as a Planned Development District (PDD). Uses proposed within the PDD are a mix of residential types and densities, commercial and mixed uses, and a government and institutional district as a potential site for a new middle school.

The purpose of the Planned Development District is to promote the efficient use of land, allow flexible application of development controls, allow various densities and land uses, provide enhanced recreational opportunities, protect surrounding property and protect the natural features of the site. The land uses and standards outlined in the PDD Master Plan and development documents serve to accomplish this objective through the range and varying intensity of the different uses. In addition, the provision of a substantial recreational component will greatly improve and benefit the health and activity of citizens city-wide.

The location of this proposed development was identified in the City's Growth Management Strategic Plan as an important target for annexation. This was due to the potential for a greater level and intensity of residential development that could be permitted under the County's Comprehensive Plan. Should the City not pursue annexation there could be substantial negative impact to the City's future growth. Strategic annexation assures that the City has some determination in where and what land uses are appropriate (if not, then private developers will determine urban growth). This would limit the City's ability to control appropriate land uses, densities, preservation of natural lands and transportation impacts and mitigation.

The subject property is located in area identified in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Map as containing a mix of residential, government and institutional, and a diversity of commercial services and professional uses that integrate flexible design standards compatible with the principles outlined in the Plan. It is also generally in the location that has been identified as a future growth area in the Plan. Staff believes that the Annexation and Rezoning is appropriate because 1) it is compatible with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan; 2) the site is located in an area identified for annexation.

Potential Benefits:

1. The proposed rezoning and annexation will assist the city in meeting goals outlined in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

Potential Concerns: There are potential concerns regarding additional traffic impacts. However a Traffic Impact Analysis containing impacts and mitigation solutions will be completed prior to preliminary plat.

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL based on the information prepared by staff outlined in this Planning Commission Report.

Ordinance 77, Section 19.504

PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT

1. Is there a need for the proposed amendment?

Based on the staff analysis and findings for the planning commission report, the staff has recognized a positive benefit for the rezoning of the site and annexation by meeting a major goal of the of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

2. What is the impact of the proposed zoning amendment on the site and surrounding properties?

The site is located in an area of the Gold Hill Road corridor that is transitioning from rural residential uses in a suburban pattern to more compact mixed uses in an urban setting. The potential intensity of residential development should the property remain in the unincorporated area could be detrimental to adjacent properties. The addition of a potential new middle school and recreational opportunities along with commercial uses should complement the current property owners. Therefore the impact on surrounding properties would be minimal.

3. What is the relationship of this request to the official land use development plan?

The Future Land Use Map of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan calls for mixed uses in this area. Annexation of this particular property will assist the City in accomplishing the City's goals outlined in the Plan. Therefore, this request **IS CONSISTENT** with the Comprehensive Plan.

4. Is there vacant land currently classified for similar development in the vicinity; and/or are there any special circumstances that may make a substantial part of such vacant land unavailable for development?

Currently, **THERE IS NO** vacant land zoned for similar uses within the vicinity of the subject site.

5. Are the uses permitted by the proposed change appropriate in the area concerned?

The uses permitted under the proposed annexation and rezoning **IS APPROPRIATE** for the area due to its location in an area transitioning from traditional residential uses to more commercial and mixed uses as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.

6. Are the existing public school facilities adequate and available or can they be provided to serve the needs of the development likely to take place as a result of such change?

UNKNOWN. Since the annexation and rezoning plan contains a mix of uses including residential, commercial, and institutional, as well as the potential location of a new middle school, any adverse impacts due to purely residential growth would be mitigated. In addition, the residential component of the development will be required to pay the FMSD Impact Fee of \$2500 per residential unit. This money is intended for use as capital investment in new facilities.

7. Are the existing road systems adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: traffic counts, road design and capacity, site plan design, trips generated by the proposed land use, etc.:

The annexation of the subject property will have some effect on Gold Hill Road due to the variety and mix of uses. However, included in the Preliminary Plat requirements is the completion of a Traffic Impact Analysis based on the proposed uses. Included in the findings of this study there will most likely be mitigation required due to any identified impacts on the transportation system. These may include such items as a dedicated turning lane, right-in/right-out only lanes or improvements to the planned signalized intersection at Deer Creek and Gold Hill Road. However, the impacts and subsequent mitigation requirements are **UNKNOWN** at this time.

8. Are the existing public facilities adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: availability of public water and sewer, whether the proposed change is in accordance with any existing or proposed plans for providing public water and sewer, and does the any existing storm drainage system have capacity to accommodate the proposed use:

The site is currently served by well and septic but is proposed to be served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities once annexation is completed. Therefore, existing public facilities **ARE ADEQUATE.**

9. What soils exist on the site, and are any of them considered Hydric (wetlands) or Prime?

The soils present on site are Cecil Clay Loam with red or brown, firm clay subsoil and **IS considered a PRIME soil.** No Hydric soils have been identified onsite.

10. Have floodplains been identified on the site?

There **NO FLOODPLAINS** identified onsite.

11. Have any historical sites/ endangered species/ abandoned cemeteries located on the site or in the general vicinity been identified?

THERE ARE NO apparent historical sites, endangered species or abandoned cemeteries onsite.

REZONING CASE 2016-03

TO: PLANNING COMMISSION
FROM: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DATE: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016
APPLICANT: CITY OF TEGA CAY & FORT MILL SCHOOL DISTRICT
REQUEST: REQUEST TO REZONE THE FOLLOWING FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE 2015-2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

- 6460000016 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6430701014 from B-2 (General Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- A Portion of 6430701001 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6440201014 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6440101250 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6440101345 from B-2 (General Business) to PARK
- 6440101020 from B-2 (General Business) and PARK to PARK
- 6440201001 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to PARK
- 6440201002 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to PARK
- 6440101120 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to PARK

Staff Summary:

The properties listed above are being proposed for rezoning as an effort to comply with the actual land uses and for consistency with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan. The majority of the properties are publicly owned by the City. The remaining two properties are owned by the Fort Mill School district.

The 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan included a Future Land Use Map which identified the land uses for which these properties are proposed to be zoned. The Plan also called for creation of a Government and Institutional District which was adopted in the Zoning Code revisions last October. The public and civic uses of such institutions as schools and government facilities mandate the rezoning of these properties to the new district.

Staff believes that these Rezoning are appropriate because 1) it is compatible with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan;

Potential Benefits:

1. The proposed rezonings will assist the city in meeting goals outlined in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

Potential Concerns: There are no potential concerns.

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL based on the information prepared by staff outlined in this Planning Commission Report.

1. Is there a need for the proposed amendment?

Based on the staff analysis and findings for the planning commission report, the staff has recognized a positive benefit for the rezonings by meeting a major goal of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

2. What is the impact of the proposed zoning amendment on the site and surrounding properties?

Since the actual land uses will not change there will be no impact.

3. What is the relationship of this request to the official land use development plan?

The Future Land Use Map of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan calls for land uses in the proposed rezonings. Therefore, this request **IS CONSISTENT** with the Comprehensive Plan.

4. Is there vacant land currently classified for similar development in the vicinity; and/or are there any special circumstances that may make a substantial part of such vacant land unavailable for development?

N/A

5. Are the uses permitted by the proposed change appropriate in the area concerned?

The uses permitted under the proposed rezonings **ARE APPROPRIATE**.

6. Are the existing public school facilities adequate and available or can they be provided to serve the needs of the development likely to take place as a result of such change?

N/A.

7. Are the existing road systems adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: traffic counts, road design and capacity, site plan design, trips generated by the proposed land use, etc.:

N/A.

8. Are the existing public facilities adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: availability of public water and sewer, whether the proposed change is in accordance with any existing or proposed plans for providing public water and sewer, and does the any existing storm drainage system have capacity to accommodate the proposed use:

All sites are served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities. Therefore, existing public facilities **ARE ADEQUATE**.

9. What soils exist on the site, and are any of them considered Hydric (wetlands) or Prime?


N/A

10. Have floodplains been identified on the site?

N/A

11. Have any historical sites/ endangered species/ abandoned cemeteries located on the site or in the general vicinity been identified?

THERE ARE NO apparent historical sites, endangered species or abandoned cemeteries.



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II


1: 1,200



0.0 0 0.02 0.0 Miles

Notes

Zoning Map



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1 : 2,399



0.1 0 0.04 0.1 Miles

NAD_1983_StatePlane_South_Carolina_FIPS_3900_Feet
© GIS@YorkCountyGov.com

Printed: 1/14/2016


This map is a user generated static output from an Internet mapping site and is for reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable.

THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION

Notes

Zoning Map

Glennon Ctr/Tennis facility/Water Tower/Clubhouse/Pavilion



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1: 3,599





0.1 0 0.06 0.1 Miles

Notes

Zoning map

6440101345 - Memorial Garden



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1: 2,399




0.1 0 0.04 0.1 Miles

Notes

Zoning Map

6440101020-TrailHead Park



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II



1: 3,599



0.1 0 0.06 0.1 Miles

Notes

Zoning Map



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II



1: 359



0.0 0 0.01 0.0 Miles

Notes

Zoning Map



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1: 359




0.0 0 0.01 0.0 Miles

Notes

Zoning Map

4089 Beach Club Lane- previous proposed marina site



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay

Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1: 2,399



0.1 0 0.04 0.1 Miles

Notes

Zoning Map



REZONING APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

If assistance is needed in filling out this application, contact the Planning and Development Manager at 803.548.3513.

Planning Commission meetings are held the second (2nd) Monday of the month at 7:00 p.m. in the Lower Level of The Glennon Center located at 15077 Molokai Drive (*this is not a public hearing*).

Public hearings are held by the City Council and the Planning Commission in joint session on the first (1st) Monday of the month prior to the Planning Commission meeting at which the application will be considered.

The attached application must be filled out completely and all fees paid in full.

Application Fee: \$350

A plat (survey) and deed must be submitted before a request can be accepted.

****REZONINGS TO PD MUST HAVE CONCEPT/SKETCH APPROVAL BEFORE SUBMITTING APPLICATION. ****

Please print with ink or use a typewriter to fill out forms.



TEGA CAY REZONING APPLICATION

GENERAL INFORMATION

APPLICANT'S NAME: Fort Mill School District

ADDRESS: 2233 Deerfield Drive Fort Mill SC 29715
NUMBER STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE

PHONE: 803-548-2527
WORK HOME MOBILE

EMAIL: SchmolzeT@fort-mill.k12.sc.us

PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME: Fort Mill School District

ADDRESS: Same as above
NUMBER STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE

PHONE: _____
WORK HOME MOBILE

EMAIL: _____

PROPERTY INFORMATION

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 2185 Gold Hill Road & 2275 Dam Road

PROPERTY TAX MAP #: 6440101250 & 644020/014 PLAT: PLEASE ATTACH TO APPLICATION

LOT AREA: ACRES: _____ DEED: PLEASE ATTACH TO APPLICATION

ZONING: CURRENT: B-1 PROPOSED: GI

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION: GI

CURRENT USE OF PROPERTY: School

ELECTRIC: _____ WATER: TCUD SEWER: TCUD

RELEVANT FACTORS PERTAINING TO THE REZONING

A Zoning Map Amendment may be initiated by the Tega Cay City Council, Planning Commission, Zoning Administrator, or any corporation, individual, or agency. (Ordinance 77, Article XV Section 19-501). The Planning Commission makes recommendations that are evaluated along with the request by County Council based on the following questions (Ordinance 77, Article XV Section 19-504). Please complete the questions with the facts you intend to present in order to justify this rezoning.

1. I (we) are making application for rezoning in order to (please select):

- implement the Comprehensive Land Use Plan
- correct an original mistake or manifest error in the regulations or map
- recognize substantial changes or changing conditions in a particular location

Please justify your rezoning and the need for the proposed amendment:

N/A

2. Please explain how this request is consistent with the Comprehensive Land Use Plan:

N/A

3. What will be the impact of this amendment on surrounding properties:

N/A

4. Additional documents relevant to this petition are submitted as follows:

I hereby certify that I have read this application and the information supplied herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I agree to comply with all applicable City ordinances and state laws related to the use and development of the land. I further certify that I am the property owner, or his/her authorized agent, of the subject site(s). I understand that falsifying any information herein may result in rejection or denial of this request.

Thomas Schunke
APPLICANT 1-26-16
DATE

I (we) certify that I (we) are the owners of the property involved in this application and further that I (we) designate the person signing as applicant to represent me (us) in this rezoning application.

Thomas Schunke
PROPERTY OWNER (S) 1-26-16
DATE

I certify that any relevant restrictive covenants will be adhered to concerning this rezoning request. To assist verification, I have attached the relevant restrictive covenant information.

Thomas Schunke
APPLICANT 1-26-16
DATE

***ATTACH OWNER'S NOTARIZED WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION IF OWNER'S SIGNATURE CANNOT BE OBTAINED.**

OFFICE USE ONLY

AMOUNT PAID: _____ CHECK #: _____ CASH AMOUNT: _____

DATE RECEIVED: _____ RECEIPT #: _____


PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: _____

PUBLIC HEARING CITY COUNCIL/PLANNING COMMISSION DATE: _____

ACCEPTED BY: _____
ZONING OFFICIAL DATE

REZONING CASE NUMBER ASSIGNED: _____

6440201014-Gold Hill Elementary & Middle Schools



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay


Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course


Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1:7,199



TC Elementary School



Legend

Zoning (Tega Cay) Overlay

- Lakeshore PUD
- Marina Overlay


Zoning (Tega Cay)

- B-1
- B-2
- R-3
- R-6
- R-8
- R-10
- R-13
- R-15
- PDD
- Park
- Golf Course

Zoning

- AGC
- AGC-I
- BD-I
- BD-II
- BD-III
- ID
- LI
- PD
- RC-I
- RC-II
- RD-I
- RD-II

1:7,199



Notes

Zoning Map

REZONING CASE 2016-03

TO: PLANNING COMMISSION
FROM: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DATE: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016
APPLICANT: CITY OF TEGA CAY & FORT MILL SCHOOL DISTRICT
REQUEST: REQUEST TO REZONE THE FOLLOWING FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE 2015-2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

- 646000016 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6430701014 from B-2 (General Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- A Portion of 6430701001 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6440201014 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6440101250 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)
- 6440101345 from B-2 (General Business) to PARK
- 6440101020 from B-2 (General Business) and PARK to PARK
- 6440201001 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to PARK
- 6440201002 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to PARK
- 6440101120 from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to PARK

Staff Summary:

The properties listed above are being proposed for rezoning as an effort to comply with the actual land uses and for consistency with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan. The majority of the properties are publicly owned by the City. The remaining two properties are owned by the Fort Mill School district.

The 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan included a Future Land Use Map which identified the land uses for which these properties are proposed to be zoned. The Plan also called for creation of a Government and Institutional District which was adopted in the Zoning Code revisions last October. The public and civic uses of such institutions as schools and government facilities mandate the rezoning of these properties to the new district.

Staff believes that these Rezoning are appropriate because 1) it is compatible with the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan;

Potential Benefits:

1. The proposed rezonings will assist the city in meeting goals outlined in the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

Potential Concerns: There are no potential concerns.

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL based on the information prepared by staff outlined in this Planning Commission Report.

1. Is there a need for the proposed amendment?

Based on the staff analysis and findings for the planning commission report, the staff has recognized a positive benefit for the rezonings by meeting a major goal of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

2. What is the impact of the proposed zoning amendment on the site and surrounding properties?

Since the actual land uses will not change there will be no impact.

3. What is the relationship of this request to the official land use development plan?

The Future Land Use Map of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan calls for land uses in the proposed rezonings. Therefore, this request **IS CONSISTENT** with the Comprehensive Plan.

4. Is there vacant land currently classified for similar development in the vicinity; and/or are there any special circumstances that may make a substantial part of such vacant land unavailable for development?

N/A

5. Are the uses permitted by the proposed change appropriate in the area concerned?

The uses permitted under the proposed rezonings **ARE APPROPRIATE**.

6. Are the existing public school facilities adequate and available or can they be provided to serve the needs of the development likely to take place as a result of such change?

N/A.

7. Are the existing road systems adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: traffic counts, road design and capacity, site plan design, trips generated by the proposed land use, etc.:

N/A.

8. Are the existing public facilities adequate to serve the proposed development or can they be provided to support the demands likely to take place as a result of such change? This may include (but is not limited to) such indicators as: availability of public water and sewer, whether the proposed change is in accordance with any existing or proposed plans for providing public water and sewer, and does the any existing storm drainage system have capacity to accommodate the proposed use:

All sites are served by Tega Cay Utility Department (TCUD) service lines for water and sewer facilities. Therefore, existing public facilities **ARE ADEQUATE**.

9. What soils exist on the site, and are any of them considered Hydric (wetlands) or Prime?

N/A

10. Have floodplains been identified on the site?

N/A

11. Have any historical sites/ endangered species/ abandoned cemeteries located on the site or in the general vicinity been identified?

THERE ARE NO apparent historical sites, endangered species or abandoned cemeteries.



City of
TEGA CAY, SOUTH CAROLINA

Regular City Council Meeting Minutes
Philip T. Glennon Center – Council Chambers
15077 Molokai Drive, Tega Cay, SC
Tuesday, January 19, 2015
6:00 p.m.

Councilmembers Present: Mayor George Sheppard, Mayor Pro Tempore David O’Neal, Councilmembers Dottie Hersey, Ryan Richard and Jennifer Stalford. A quorum was present.
Staff Present: Charlie Funderburk, City Manager; Katie Poulsen, Assistant City Manager; Sylvia Szymanski, Municipal Clerk; and City Attorney Bob McCleave
The Press was duly notified of the meeting.

Mayor George Sheppard called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and noted it was a Regular City Council meeting. He then led the Pledge of Allegiance and a Moment of Silence.

ITEM 1 PUBLIC COMMENTS

1. John Sherwood of 10035 Tega Place, commented on RFP processes and asked for more public notifications, links within text notifications for agendas/minutes, etc., a public forum for more discussion and if questions raised during Public Comments could be answered within final transcripts.
2. Vincent DesRosiers of 7124 Topsail Circle asked for a moratorium on growth.

MOTION

Mayor Pro Tem O’Neal motioned to table Items a and c to the February 1 Council Special Council meeting on Item 5, seconded by Councilmember Hersey and passed unanimously.

ITEM 2 APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mayor Sheppard noted a change to the December 21, 2015 Minutes where Council entered into short breaks. “There were no votes taken” should be deleted because it was not an executive session. No changes to the January 4, 2016 minutes.

MOTION

Councilmember Hersey motioned to approve the minutes of December 21, 2015 with the changes outlined by Mayor Sheppard and to approve the minutes of January 4, 2016, seconded by Councilmember Stalford and approved unanimously.

ITEM 3 SPECIAL PRESENTATION

- A. Mayor Sheppard presented Women’s Club president, Michelle McGuire, a Proclamation Recognizing the Women’s Club.
- B. Mayor Sheppard administered Oaths of Office to Amy McKinney on Board of Zoning Appeals and Donald Olson on the Storm Water Committee.

ITEM 4 UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- A. 2nd Reading of an Ordinance to Convey ±0.274 acres of real property to Drake/Gardendale, LLC

MOTION

Mayor Pro Tem Stalford motioned to approve the Ordinance as stated, seconded by Councilmember Richard and passed unanimously.

ITEM 5 NEW BUSINESS

A. Discussion Regarding Golf Cart Regulations
TABLED

B. Construction Plans for Catawba Park

City Manager Funderburk presented scope of work plans by Campco Engineering for Catawba Park. The Duke Energy tract adjacent to the City's has 30% design totaling \$125,000. The City-owned acreage comes to \$340,000 in the design budget for a total of \$465,000. There was discussion on parcels and funding. Mayor Pro Tem Stalford asked for the budget numbers for engineering services and City Manager Funderburk indicated it would be provided in the total scope.

MOTION

Mayor Pro Tem O'Neal motioned to authorize the City Manager to engage Campco Engineering to proceed forward with construction documents under the scope presented in the amount not to exceed \$465,000, seconded by Councilmember Hersey and approved unanimously.

C. Discussion regarding growth on the Highway 160 and Gold Hill Road Corridors
TABLED

D. Committee Liaison Assignments – Community Relations, Planning Commission, and Storm Water Committee

Mayor Sheppard assigned Councilmember Richard to the Community Relations Committee, Mayor Pro Tem O'Neal was assigned to the Planning Commission, and Councilmember Hersey was assigned to the Storm Water Committee.

E. Intergovernmental Liaison

Mayor Sheppard conversed with School Board Chairman Patrick White and Fort Mill Mayor Guynn Savage. Each want to have a liaison from each Council to interact with the other and each other's councils and to also convey that information with the school board. He appointed Councilmember Stalford to be the intergovernmental liaison with the Town of Fort Mill, Fort Mill School Board and the City of Tega Cay.

ITEM 6 CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Funderburk announced the February 1 workshop at 6pm in the lower level conference room at City Hall. Hometown Legislative Action Day in Columbia is February 3. February 23 is York County Day. The City received two proposals for the RFP for the fire station. Once the City receives costs, that group will present design, pricing and potential funding for Council's consideration, possibly at the March regular meeting. The City sent a PSA today about coyotes. The Police Department will remain vigilant and is meeting with private contractors referred by SCDNR to discuss the situation. Residents should call 911 if they see coyotes. Code Red Sign up for notifications are on the front page of the website. Councilmember Hersey asked for Council to be updated on TCUD status of work efforts, repairs, spillage, etc. Preliminary work will be started next week in the Molokai area and will be updated on the City's utility page.

Flags on Tega Cay Drive mark the edge of SCDOT right of way. Staff has reached out to SCDOT daily about potholes on Tega Cay Drive. At the Eastwood project across from Tega Cay Elementary School, their contractor began stump removals which was not allowed due to wetlands and streambed. The Army Corps of Engineers began mitigation requirements. Those have been completed. The City has issued a limited grading permit that allows the demolition of the home, installation of silt fence, but they can only work up to the first impacted area until Army Corps signs off and releases the entire project. There will be no signal light, but there will be a light at Hubert Graham Way. The maximum number of homes could be 130. SCDOT determines signalization, the

City does not. The deer population study should also be presented at the February 16 meeting by SCDNR.

ITEM 7 PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were none.

ITEM 8 COUNCIL COMMENTS

Councilmember Hersey had no comments.

Councilmember Richard commented on growth opportunities and limitations and said he was looking forward to the February 1 workshop and getting information to residents. His focus is to get information out to know what direction Council is going in.

Councilmember Stalford referred to Mr. Sherwood's comments and Ordinance 77 for signage. She wants it reviewed, simplified and based on the Comprehensive Plan that the community was heavily involved in. That will be included in the workshop. Although there are no comments, the public can hear the roundtable discussion at the Workshop. The Beautification Committee had 619.5 hours volunteering last year. The members have decreased but many hours are put in and especially at the Living Memorial Gardens. The City is grateful and they are always looking for more volunteers. She is proud of the Women's Club and gave thanks on behalf of the Beautification Committee for their donation of the Free Little Library. Previously, there was to be a sidewalk connecting Tega Cay Drive along the corner of Tega Cay Drive and Shoreline Parkway where the fountain is located, there is no sidewalk. I would like a presentation at the next workshop on what the City Manager has found, what it would look like, and its cost for consideration.

Mayor Pro Tem O'Neal offered his best wishes to Ralph Smith. On behalf of the Veterans Association, he presented a \$100 check toward the Police Chief's participation in the Polar Plunge to raise money for the Special Olympics.

Mayor Sheppard asked if the fountain could be turned on. City Manager remarked the current temperatures had been too low.

City Manager Funderburk indicated that the City Attorney is unable to attend the February 1 workshop, he asked Council to consider amending the Executive Session items to include receiving legal advice on tabled issued 5a and 5c.

MOTION

Councilmember Stalford motioned to amend the agenda Item 9-Executive Session to add Item 9B-To take legal advice from the City Attorney on Golf Cart Regulations and Growth on the Highway 160 and Gold Hill Road Corridors, seconded by Councilmember Stalford. After some discussion, the motioned passed unanimously.

ITEM 9 Executive Session

- A. Discussion incident to Contractual Matters regarding Clubhouse Food & Beverage
- B. To receive legal advice on Golf Cart Regulations and Growth on Highway 160 and Gold Hill Road Corridors

MOTION

Councilmember Hersey motioned to go into Executive Session for the purpose of having a discussion incident to Contractual Matters regarding Clubhouse Food & Beverage and to receive legal advice on Golf Cart Regulations and regarding Growth on Highway 160 and Gold Hill Road Corridors, seconded by Councilmember Stalford and passed unanimously.

Council entered into Executive Session at 6:53 p.m. and exited at 8:36 p.m. Mayor Sheppard noted only those items on the agenda were discussed and no votes were taken other than to return to open session.

**ITEM 12 ADJOURNMENT
MOTION**

There being no further business, Councilmember Richard motioned to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Councilmember Hersey and approved unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:36 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,


[SEAL]

Sylvia Szymanski, Municipal Clerk

APPROVED:

George Sheppard, Mayor

APPROVAL DATE: February 16, 2016



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF YORK) ORDINANCE ____
CITY OF TEGA CAY)

TO ANNEX BY 100 PERCENT PETITION METHOD, AND INCORPORATE WITHIN THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF TEGA CAY, THAT AREA OWNED BY THE CITY OF TEGA CAY, APPROXIMATELY ± 1.47 ACRES, TAX MAP NUMBER 646-00-00-062, ADJACENT TO THE BOUNDARY LINE OF THE CITY, AS DESCRIBED ON THE PLAT ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND TO REZONE FROM YORK COUNTY BD-1 TO CITY OF TEGA CAY GI

WHEREAS, the owner of the real estate designated as York County TMS #'s 646-00-00-062, located at 2216 Gold Hill Road and containing ± 1.47 acres, as described on the attached plat, has petitioned the City Council of the City of Tega Cay to annex to the City of Tega Cay.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Tega Cay duly assembled:

SECTION I. That the described land on the attached plat and all adjacent rights-of-way, contiguous to the boundary of the City of Tega Cay is hereby annexed to, taken into and made a part of the City of Tega Cay.

SECTION II. That the property above described and hereby annexed shall be Government & Institutional under the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Tega Cay.

FIRST READING: _____
SECOND READING: _____

Enacted this __ day of _____, 2016, by a majority vote of the duly elected City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina.

SIGNED:
CAY

CITY OF TEGA

[SEAL]

George C. Sheppard, Mayor

David L. O’Neal, Mayor Pro Tempore

Dottie Hersey, Council Member

Ryan Richard, Council

Member

Jennifer Stalford, Council Member

ATTEST:

Charlie Funderburk, City Manager

Certificate of Adoption

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the ordinance passed at the regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina, held on the ____ day of _____, 2016.

Sylvia Szymanski



Municipal Clerk

- NOTES:
1. ALL DISTANCES ARE HORIZONTAL GROUND DISTANCES.
 2. BOUNDARY SURVEY COMPLETED SEPTEMBER 2, 2015.
 3. AREAS COMPUTED BY COORDINATE METHOD.
 4. TOTAL SITE AREA IS 0.9804 ACRES (42,707 SF).
 5. ORIENTATION BASED ON SC STATE PLANE GRID.
 6. THESE PROPERTIES MAY BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL EASEMENTS OR RIGHTS-OF-WAY.
 7. THIS SURVEY DONE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE REPORT.
 8. NO NEW PROPERTY LINES WERE ESTABLISHED WITH THIS PLAT.

LEGEND:

- R/W - RIGHT-OF-WAY
- PB PG - PLATBOOK - PAGE
- DB PG - DEEDBOOK - PAGE
- FD - FOUND
- BOC - BACK OF CURB
- P - PORCH
- ☼ - LIGHT POLE
- TP# - TAX PARCEL NUMBER
- CONC - CONCRETE
- - IRON SET
- - FOUND IRON
- △ - COMPUTED POINT
- ▣ - TELEPHONE PEDESTAL
- SIGN
- ◇ - FIBER OPTIC MARKER
- CATV - CABLE OR COMMUNICATIONS PEDESTAL
- AC - AIR CONDITIONING UNIT

REFERENCE:
PARCEL # 6460000062 - DB 14025 PG 193 & PB 98 PG 422



SUMMIT LAND SERVICES
P.O. BOX 7442
CHARLOTTE, NC 28241
OFFICE: 704.626.2800
FAX: 704.626.2805
WWW.SUMMIT-COMPANIES.COM

REVISIONS:

DATE	DESCRIPTION AND REVISIONS
11/20/15	ADDED METES AND BOUNDS OR SUBSTANCES ON GAPS AND OVERLAPS

CLIENT:
CITY OF TEGA CAY
P.O. BOX 3399
TEGA CAY, SOUTH CAROLINA 29708

PROJECT:
BOUNDARY SURVEY OF
PARCEL 6460000062
0.9804 ACRES
CITY OF TEGA CAY
YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

SHEET:
2216 GOLD HILL RD.dwg

PROJ. DATE: 9-15-2015
SCALE: 1" = 30'
DRAWN BY: RPE
CHECKED BY: KCH
PROJECT NO: 3489-15



SHEET
1

I HAVE EXAMINED THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FOR YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 45091C0211E WITH AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2008, AND HEREBY CERTIFY THAT NO PORTION OF THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA AS DETERMINED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY.

I, KENT C. HUDSON, PLS, CERTIFY TO THE FOLLOWING: THIS SURVEY IS OF AN EXISTING PARCEL OR PARCELS OF LAND. NO NEW PROPERTY LINES WERE ESTABLISHED WITH THIS PLAT.

Certification:
I, hereby state to the best of my professional knowledge, information and belief, the survey shown herein was made in accordance with the requirements of the Standards of Practice Manual for Land Surveying in South Carolina, and meets or exceeds the requirements for a class "A" survey as specified therein; also there are no visible encroachments, or projections other than shown.

Signed: *Kent Cooper Hudson*
Kent Cooper Hudson PLS#27451
PROUD MEMBER OF SCSPLS



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF YORK) ORDINANCE ____
CITY OF TEGA CAY)

TO ANNEX BY 100 PERCENT PETITION METHOD, AND INCORPORATE WITHIN THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF TEGA CAY, THAT AREA OWNED BY JOYCE MARLOW, APPROXIMATELY ± 4.24 ACRES, TAX MAP NUMBER 644-00-00-030, ADJACENT TO THE BOUNDARY LINE OF THE CITY, AS DESCRIBED ON THE PLAT ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND TO REZONE FROM YORK COUNTY RUD TO CITY OF TEGA CAY B-2

WHEREAS, the owner of the real estate designated as York County TMS #'s 644-00-00-030, located at 2264 Dam Road and containing ± 4.24 acres, as described on the attached plat, has petitioned the City Council of the City of Tega Cay to annex to the City of Tega Cay.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Tega Cay duly assembled:

SECTION I. That the described land on the attached plat and all adjacent rights-of-way, contiguous to the boundary of the City of Tega Cay is hereby annexed to, taken into and made a part of the City of Tega Cay.

SECTION II. That the property above described and hereby annexed shall be B-2 under the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Tega Cay.

FIRST READING: _____
SECOND READING: _____

Enacted this __ day of _____, 2016, by a majority vote of the duly elected City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina.

SIGNED: _____ **CITY OF TEGA**
CAY

[SEAL]

Member

George C. Sheppard, Mayor

David L. O’Neal, Mayor Pro Tempore

Dottie Hersey, Council Member

Ryan Richard, Council

Jennifer Stalford, Council Member

ATTEST:


Charlie Funderburk, City Manager

Certificate of Adoption

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the ordinance passed at the regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina, held on the _____ day of _____, 2016.


Sylvia Szymanski

Municipal Clerk



LEGEND:

- EIP = EXISTING IRON PIN
- OIP = OLD IRON PIPE
- SIP = SET IRON PIN
- PWR = POWER PAD
- PM = POWER METER
- GM = GAS METER
- AC = AIR CONDITIONING
- TELE = TELEPHONE PEDESTAL
- CATV = CABLE TELEVISION
- WM = WATER METER
- R/W = RIGHT OF WAY
- PP = POWER POLE
- LP = LIGHT POLE
- CO = SEWER CLEAN OUT
- YI = YARD INLET
- FES = FLARED END SECTION
- CB = CATCH BASIN
- SDE = STORM DRAINAGE EASEMENT
- SSE = SANITARY SEWER EASEMENT
- SDMH = STORM DRAIN MANHOLE
- SSMH = SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE
- BC = BACK OF CURB
- OE = OVERHEAD ELECTRICITY
- CP = COVERED PORCH




NOTES:

1. IRON PINS SET AT ALL CORNERS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
2. PROPERTY ZONED RUD (SETBACKS ARE SUBJECT TO AN INTERPRETATION FROM THE ZONING BOARD)
3. TAX PARCEL NUMBER 6440000030.
4. DEED REFERENCE: DB 525 PG 189.
5. BOUNDARY SURVEY ONLY THROUGH POINTS AS SHOWN.
6. THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN AN AREA HAVING A ZONE DESIGNATION X BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA), ON FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM) NO. 45091C0211E, WITH A DATE OF IDENTIFICATION OF 09/26/2008.
7. THIS PROPERTY MAY BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL RECORDED OR UNRECORDED EASEMENTS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, OR RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS, OTHER THAN SHOWN. SURVEY MADE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE EXAMINATION.
8. AREA COMPUTED BY COORDINATED METHOD.
10. UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE LOCATED BASED ON SITE CONDITIONS AT THE TIME OF SURVEY. CONTRACTORS ARE TO HAVE ALL UTILITIES ACCURATELY MARKED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
11. (FEMA NOTE) ANY CONSTRUCTION OR USE WITHIN ANY DELINEATED FLOOD AREA IS SUBJECT TO THE RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY THE FLOODWAY REGULATIONS.
12. NO NEW LOTS OR PROPERTY LINES ESTABLISHED.



~NOW OR FORMERLY~
FORT MILL SCHOOL DIST #4
DB 326/349
PB 109/106

~NOW OR FORMERLY~
SPRINGLAND, INC
DB 548/833

20.4
40.0
LP
PP



**DAM ROAD
RIGHT OF WAY UNKNOWN**



I HEREBY CERTIFY TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION, AND BELIEF, THE SURVEY SHOWN HEREON WAS MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MINIMUM STANDARDS MANUAL FOR THE PRACTICE OF LAND SURVEYING IN SOUTH CAROLINA, AND MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A CLASS "A" SURVEY AS SPECIFIED THEREIN; ALSO, THERE ARE NO VISIBLE ENCROACHMENTS OR PROJECTIONS OTHER THAN SHOWN.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 27 DAY OF MAY, 2015.

Hugh E. White, Jr.
HUGH E. WHITE, JR. PLS #93258

~NOW OR FORMERLY~
DARRYL G & KIMBERLY M McDANIEL
DB 6951/7
PB C370/5

JOYCE MARLOWE RAINES
DB 525/199
PB 41/202
~4.062 ACRES~

DATE	REVISIONS:	SCALE: 1" = 60'
	2015/DAM ROAD	
	2015/DAM ROAD	
	DRAWN BY: NB	
	CHECKED BY: HW	
	FIELD WORK: JH/EV	
		MAY 27, 2015



CAROLINA SURVEYORS, INC.
P.O. BOX 267 - PINEHURST, N.C. 28134-0267
HUGH E. WHITE, JR., N.C.R.L.S. & S.C.R.L.S. 889-7501
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION #16-1512 SC:886

A BOUNDARY SURVEY SHOWING
#2264 DAM ROAD
(NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF SC HWY 160)
SURVEYED FOR: JOYCE MARLOWE RAINES
AREA: 4.062 ACRES
FORT MILL TOWNSHIP, YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF YORK) ORDINANCE ____
CITY OF TEGA CAY)

TO ANNEX BY 100 PERCENT PETITION METHOD, AND INCORPORATE WITHIN THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF TEGA CAY, APPROXIMATELY ± 122.2 ACRES, TAX MAP NUMBERS 646-00-00-002, 646-00-00-004, 646-00-00-005, 646-00-00-006, 646-00-00-007, 646-00-00-013, 646-00-00-031, 644-01-01-029, AND A PORTION OF 646-00-00-018, ADJACENT TO THE BOUNDARY LINE OF THE CITY, AS DESCRIBED ON THE SITE PLAN ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND TO REZONE FROM YORK COUNTY B-2 TO CITY OF TEGA CAY PDD

WHEREAS, the owners of the real estate designated as York County Tax Map Numbers 646-00-00-002, 646-00-00-004, 646-00-00-005, 646-00-00-006, 646-00-00-007, 646-00-00-013, 646-00-00-031, 644-01-01-029, and a portion of 646-00-00-018, located on Gold Hill Road and containing ± 122.2 acres, as described on the attached site plan, has petitioned the City Council of the City of Tega Cay to annex to the City of Tega Cay.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Tega Cay duly assembled:

SECTION I. That the described land on the attached plats and all adjacent rights-of-way, contiguous to the boundary of the City of Tega Cay is hereby annexed to, taken into and made a part of the City of Tega Cay.

SECTION II. That the property above described and hereby annexed shall be Planned Development District (PDD) under the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Tega Cay.

FIRST READING: _____
SECOND READING: _____

Enacted this __ day of _____, 2016, by a majority vote of the duly elected City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina.

SIGNED: **CITY OF TEGA**
CAY

[SEAL]

George C. Sheppard, Mayor

David L. O’Neal, Mayor Pro Tempore

Dottie Hersey, Council Member

Ryan Richard, Council

Member

Jennifer Stalford, Council Member

ATTEST:

Charlie Funderburk, City Manager

Certificate of Adoption

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the ordinance passed at the regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina, held on the ____ day of _____, 2016.

Sylvia Szymanski

Municipal Clerk

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF YORK) **ORDINANCE** ____
CITY OF TEGA CAY)

TO REZONE TAX MAP NUMBERS 646-00-00-016, 643-07-01-014, A PORTION OF 643-07-01-001, 644-01-01-345, 644-01-01-020, 644-02-01-001, 644-02-01-002 AND 644-01-01-120 OWNED BY THE CITY OF TEGA CAY

WHEREAS, the City of Tega Cay desires to rezone Tax Map Numbers 646-00-00-016, 643-07-01-014, a Portion of 643-07-01-001, 644-01-01-345, 644-01-01-020, 644-02-01-001, 644-02-01-002, and 644-01-01-120 owned by the City of Tega Cay; and

WHEREAS, these properties were acquired by the City at a time when only limited classifications existed in its Land Use and Zoning Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, with the adoption of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan, the need for additional zoning classifications were identified; and

WHEREAS, in October 2015, City Council did amend the City’s Zoning Ordinance to create additional zoning districts to further encapsulate those parcels within, and adjacent to, the City limits; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the City to rezone these parcels to be consistent with the standards and character of the approved Land Use and Zoning Ordinances and the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Mayor and Council of the City of Tega Cay duly assembled:

SECTION 1. Tax Map Number 646-00-00-016 be rezoned from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)

SECTION 2. Tax Map Number 643-07-01-014 be rezoned from B-2 (General Business) to GI

SECTION 3. Tax Map Number a portion of 643-07-01-001 be rezoned from B-1 to GI

SECTION 4. Tax Map Number 644-01-01-345 from B-2 to PARK

SECTION 5. Tax Map Number 644-01-01-020 from B-2 and PARK to PARK

SECTION 6. Tax Map Number 644-02-01-001 from B-1 to PARK

SECTION 7. Tax Map Number 644-02-01-002 from B-1 to PARK

SECTION 8. Tax Map Number 644-01-01-120 from B-1 to PARK

FIRST READING: _____
SECOND READING: _____
PUBLIC HEARING: _____

Enacted this ___ day of _____, 2016, by a majority vote of the duly elected City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina.

**SIGNED:
CAY**

CITY OF TEGA

[SEAL]

George C. Sheppard, Mayor

David L. O’Neal, Mayor Pro Tempore

Dottie Hersey, Council Member

Ryan Richard, Council

Member

Jennifer Stalford, Council Member

ATTEST:

Charlie Funderburk, City Manager

Certificate of Adoption

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the ordinance passed at the regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina, held on the ___ day of _____, 2016.

Sylvia Szymanski

Municipal Clerk

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF YORK) ORDINANCE ____
CITY OF TEGA CAY)

TO REZONE TAX MAP NUMBERS 644-02-01-014 AND 644-01-01-250 OWNED BY THE FORT MILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the City of Tega Cay desires to rezone Tax Map Numbers 644-02-01-014 and 644-01-01-250 owned by the Fort Mill School District; and

WHEREAS, these properties were zoned by the City at a time when only limited classifications existed in its Land Use and Zoning Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, with the adoption of the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan, the need for additional zoning classifications were identified; and

WHEREAS, in October 2015, City Council did amend the City’s Zoning Ordinance to create additional zoning districts to further encapsulate those parcels within, and adjacent to, the City limits; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the City and property owner to rezone these parcels to be consistent with the standards and character of the approved Land Use and Zoning Ordinances and the 2015-2025 Comprehensive Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Mayor and Council of the City of Tega Cay duly assembled:

SECTION 1. Tax Map Number 644-02-01-014 be rezoned from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)

SECTION 2. Tax Map Number 644-01-01-250 be rezoned from B-1 (Neighborhood Business) to GI (Government & Institutional)

FIRST READING: _____
SECOND READING: _____
PUBLIC HEARING: _____

Enacted this __ day of _____, 2016, by a majority vote of the duly elected City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina.

SIGNED:

CITY OF TEGA CAY

[SEAL]

George C. Sheppard, Mayor

David L. O'Neal, Mayor Pro Tempore

Dottie Hersey, Council Member

Ryan Richard, Council

Member

Jennifer Stalford, Council Member

ATTEST:

Charlie Funderburk, City Manager

Certificate of Adoption

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the ordinance passed at the regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Tega Cay, South Carolina, held on the ____ day of _____, 2016.

Sylvia Szymanski

Municipal Clerk



To: Charlie Funderburk, City Manager, City of Tega Cay, SC
Susan Britt, AICP, Planning and Community Development Manager, City of Tega Cay

From: Duane R Brown, Project Manager, Drake Gardendale, LLC 

Date: February 4, 2016

RE: Request for certain street acceptance within the Gardendale/Lake Ridge Subdivision

As per the City of Tega Cay Subdivision Land Development Code, Drake Gardendale LLC hereby requests that the following streets depicted per the attached record maps be considered for acceptance into the City's inventory:

- Entirety of Celandine Way as shown by record maps Phase 1, Pod A, Map 1 and Phase 1, Pod A, Map 4. (Please note: the portions of Larkspur and Bluebell shown on Pod A Map 4 are not part of this request) Completed home sites exceed the 75% completed minimum requirement.
- Entirety of Bergamot Street and Rosebud Court as shown by record maps Phase 1, Pod A, Map 1 and Map 2. Completed home sites exceed the 75% completed minimum requirement.
- Sections of Angelica Lane and Coralbell Way as shown by record map Phase 1, Pod C, Map 1. Completed home sites exceed the 75% completed minimum requirement.

- Section of Hazel Street and entirety of Basil Court as shown by record map Phase 1, Pod C, Map 3. Completed home sites exceed the 75% completed minimum requirement.
- Section of Angelica Lane and entirety of Violet Court as shown by record map Phase 1, Pod D, Map 2. Home sites are at 100% completion.
- Remaining sections of Annatto Way and Rosemary Lane as shown by record maps Phase 2, Pod F Map 5 and Map 6. Home sites are at 100% completion.
- Verbena Court in its entirety and remaining portion of Gladiola Way as shown by record map Phase 2, Pod G. Home sites are at 100% completion.


Copies of the associated record maps are attached for your reference and use.

THIS PLAN IS MADE FOR THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND IS SUBJECT TO THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS. THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, IS THE OWNER OF THE LAND SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

RECORDATIONS:
 1. RECORDS FOR THIS PLAN ARE KEPT AT THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 100 SOUTH MAIN STREET, TEOL, SOUTH CAROLINA 29153.
 2. A COPY OF THIS PLAN IS AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 100 SOUTH MAIN STREET, TEOL, SOUTH CAROLINA 29153.
 3. THIS PLAN IS SUBJECT TO THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA, ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS.

DATE OF PLAN	DATE OF PLAN	DATE OF PLAN	DATE OF PLAN
10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010
10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010
10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010
10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010

1. ALL DISTRICTS AND ZONING, PLANNING, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION ORDINANCES.
2. RECORDS OF THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.
3. RECORDS OF THE COUNTY OF YOKO, SOUTH CAROLINA.
4. RECORDS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
5. RECORDS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
6. RECORDS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
7. RECORDS OF THE COUNTY OF YOKO, SOUTH CAROLINA.
8. RECORDS OF THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.
9. RECORDS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
10. RECORDS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
11. RECORDS OF THE COUNTY OF YOKO, SOUTH CAROLINA.
12. RECORDS OF THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.
13. RECORDS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
14. RECORDS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
15. RECORDS OF THE COUNTY OF YOKO, SOUTH CAROLINA.
16. RECORDS OF THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.
17. RECORDS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
18. RECORDS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
19. RECORDS OF THE COUNTY OF YOKO, SOUTH CAROLINA.
20. RECORDS OF THE CITY OF TEOL, YOKO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.




Lot No.	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)
1	10,000	10,000	10,000
2	10,000	10,000	10,000
3	10,000	10,000	10,000
4	10,000	10,000	10,000
5	10,000	10,000	10,000
6	10,000	10,000	10,000
7	10,000	10,000	10,000
8	10,000	10,000	10,000
9	10,000	10,000	10,000
10	10,000	10,000	10,000

LEGEND:
 1. LOT
 2. STREET
 3. ALLEY
 4. DRIVE
 5. HIGHWAY
 6. RAILROAD
 7. CANAL
 8. DITCH
 9. FENCE
 10. UTILITY LINE
 11. EASEMENT
 12. ENCROACHMENT
 13. SURVEY ERROR
 14. ADJACENT PROPERTY
 15. ADJACENT WATERWAY

Lot No.	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)
1	10,000	10,000	10,000
2	10,000	10,000	10,000
3	10,000	10,000	10,000
4	10,000	10,000	10,000
5	10,000	10,000	10,000
6	10,000	10,000	10,000
7	10,000	10,000	10,000
8	10,000	10,000	10,000
9	10,000	10,000	10,000
10	10,000	10,000	10,000

Lot No.	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)
1	10,000	10,000	10,000
2	10,000	10,000	10,000
3	10,000	10,000	10,000
4	10,000	10,000	10,000
5	10,000	10,000	10,000
6	10,000	10,000	10,000
7	10,000	10,000	10,000
8	10,000	10,000	10,000
9	10,000	10,000	10,000
10	10,000	10,000	10,000



DRAKE GARDENDALE, LLC
 1000 W. 10th Street, Suite 100, York, SC 29158
 Phone: 803.781.1111
 Website: www.espsouthcarolina.com

ESP Associates, P.A.
 1000 W. 10th Street, Suite 100, York, SC 29158
 Phone: 803.781.1111
 Website: www.espsouthcarolina.com

ESP Associates, P.A.
 1000 W. 10th Street, Suite 100, York, SC 29158
 Phone: 803.781.1111
 Website: www.espsouthcarolina.com

ESP Associates, P.A.
 1000 W. 10th Street, Suite 100, York, SC 29158
 Phone: 803.781.1111
 Website: www.espsouthcarolina.com

ESP Associates, P.A.
 1000 W. 10th Street, Suite 100, York, SC 29158
 Phone: 803.781.1111
 Website: www.espsouthcarolina.com

CURVE TABLE

CURVE	LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	CHORD	DISTANCE
C1	58.26	142.68	216.00	115.80	42.42
C2	47.14	115.35	180.00	93.53	33.85
C3	36.02	90.28	135.00	72.79	26.28
C4	24.90	65.21	90.00	52.04	18.71
C5	13.78	40.14	45.00	31.29	11.14
C6	2.66	15.07	9.00	6.26	2.27
C7	1.54	8.14	4.50	3.41	1.24
C8	0.42	2.26	1.13	0.91	0.33
C9	0.31	1.64	0.81	0.67	0.24
C10	0.20	1.02	0.45	0.42	0.15
C11	0.10	0.51	0.23	0.21	0.08
C12	0.05	0.25	0.11	0.10	0.04
C13	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.01
C14	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.00
C15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LINE TABLE

LINE	BEARING	LENGTH
1	S89°59'57"W	33.74
2	S89°59'57"W	37.74
3	S89°59'57"W	41.74
4	S89°59'57"W	45.74
5	S89°59'57"W	49.74
6	S89°59'57"W	53.74
7	S89°59'57"W	57.74
8	S89°59'57"W	61.74
9	S89°59'57"W	65.74
10	S89°59'57"W	69.74
11	S89°59'57"W	73.74
12	S89°59'57"W	77.74
13	S89°59'57"W	81.74
14	S89°59'57"W	85.74
15	S89°59'57"W	89.74
16	S89°59'57"W	93.74
17	S89°59'57"W	97.74
18	S89°59'57"W	101.74
19	S89°59'57"W	105.74
20	S89°59'57"W	109.74
21	S89°59'57"W	113.74
22	S89°59'57"W	117.74
23	S89°59'57"W	121.74
24	S89°59'57"W	125.74
25	S89°59'57"W	129.74
26	S89°59'57"W	133.74
27	S89°59'57"W	137.74
28	S89°59'57"W	141.74
29	S89°59'57"W	145.74
30	S89°59'57"W	149.74
31	S89°59'57"W	153.74
32	S89°59'57"W	157.74
33	S89°59'57"W	161.74
34	S89°59'57"W	165.74
35	S89°59'57"W	169.74
36	S89°59'57"W	173.74
37	S89°59'57"W	177.74
38	S89°59'57"W	181.74
39	S89°59'57"W	185.74
40	S89°59'57"W	189.74
41	S89°59'57"W	193.74
42	S89°59'57"W	197.74
43	S89°59'57"W	201.74
44	S89°59'57"W	205.74
45	S89°59'57"W	209.74
46	S89°59'57"W	213.74
47	S89°59'57"W	217.74
48	S89°59'57"W	221.74
49	S89°59'57"W	225.74
50	S89°59'57"W	229.74
51	S89°59'57"W	233.74
52	S89°59'57"W	237.74
53	S89°59'57"W	241.74
54	S89°59'57"W	245.74
55	S89°59'57"W	249.74
56	S89°59'57"W	253.74
57	S89°59'57"W	257.74
58	S89°59'57"W	261.74
59	S89°59'57"W	265.74
60	S89°59'57"W	269.74
61	S89°59'57"W	273.74
62	S89°59'57"W	277.74
63	S89°59'57"W	281.74
64	S89°59'57"W	285.74
65	S89°59'57"W	289.74
66	S89°59'57"W	293.74
67	S89°59'57"W	297.74
68	S89°59'57"W	301.74
69	S89°59'57"W	305.74
70	S89°59'57"W	309.74
71	S89°59'57"W	313.74
72	S89°59'57"W	317.74
73	S89°59'57"W	321.74
74	S89°59'57"W	325.74
75	S89°59'57"W	329.74
76	S89°59'57"W	333.74
77	S89°59'57"W	337.74
78	S89°59'57"W	341.74
79	S89°59'57"W	345.74
80	S89°59'57"W	349.74
81	S89°59'57"W	353.74
82	S89°59'57"W	357.74
83	S89°59'57"W	361.74
84	S89°59'57"W	365.74
85	S89°59'57"W	369.74
86	S89°59'57"W	373.74
87	S89°59'57"W	377.74
88	S89°59'57"W	381.74
89	S89°59'57"W	385.74
90	S89°59'57"W	389.74
91	S89°59'57"W	393.74
92	S89°59'57"W	397.74
93	S89°59'57"W	401.74
94	S89°59'57"W	405.74
95	S89°59'57"W	409.74
96	S89°59'57"W	413.74
97	S89°59'57"W	417.74
98	S89°59'57"W	421.74
99	S89°59'57"W	425.74
100	S89°59'57"W	429.74
101	S89°59'57"W	433.74
102	S89°59'57"W	437.74
103	S89°59'57"W	441.74
104	S89°59'57"W	445.74
105	S89°59'57"W	449.74
106	S89°59'57"W	453.74
107	S89°59'57"W	457.74
108	S89°59'57"W	461.74
109	S89°59'57"W	465.74
110	S89°59'57"W	469.74
111	S89°59'57"W	473.74
112	S89°59'57"W	477.74
113	S89°59'57"W	481.74
114	S89°59'57"W	485.74
115	S89°59'57"W	489.74
116	S89°59'57"W	493.74
117	S89°59'57"W	497.74
118	S89°59'57"W	501.74
119	S89°59'57"W	505.74
120	S89°59'57"W	509.74
121	S89°59'57"W	513.74
122	S89°59'57"W	517.74
123	S89°59'57"W	521.74
124	S89°59'57"W	525.74
125	S89°59'57"W	529.74
126	S89°59'57"W	533.74
127	S89°59'57"W	537.74
128	S89°59'57"W	541.74
129	S89°59'57"W	545.74
130	S89°59'57"W	549.74
131	S89°59'57"W	553.74
132	S89°59'57"W	557.74
133	S89°59'57"W	561.74
134	S89°59'57"W	565.74
135	S89°59'57"W	569.74
136	S89°59'57"W	573.74
137	S89°59'57"W	577.74
138	S89°59'57"W	581.74
139	S89°59'57"W	585.74
140	S89°59'57"W	589.74
141	S89°59'57"W	593.74
142	S89°59'57"W	597.74
143	S89°59'57"W	601.74
144	S89°59'57"W	605.74
145	S89°59'57"W	609.74
146	S89°59'57"W	613.74
147	S89°59'57"W	617.74
148	S89°59'57"W	621.74
149	S89°59'57"W	625.74
150	S89°59'57"W	629.74
151	S89°59'57"W	633.74
152	S89°59'57"W	637.74
153	S89°59'57"W	641.74
154	S89°59'57"W	645.74
155	S89°59'57"W	649.74
156	S89°59'57"W	653.74
157	S89°59'57"W	657.74
158	S89°59'57"W	661.74
159	S89°59'57"W	665.74
160	S89°59'57"W	669.74
161	S89°59'57"W	673.74
162	S89°59'57"W	677.74
163	S89°59'57"W	681.74
164	S89°59'57"W	685.74
165	S89°59'57"W	689.74
166	S89°59'57"W	693.74
167	S89°59'57"W	697.74
168	S89°59'57"W	701.74
169	S89°59'57"W	705.74
170	S89°59'57"W	709.74
171	S89°59'57"W	713.74
172	S89°59'57"W	717.74
173	S89°59'57"W	721.74
174	S89°59'57"W	725.74
175	S89°59'57"W	729.74
176	S89°59'57"W	733.74
177	S89°59'57"W	737.74
178	S89°59'57"W	741.74
179	S89°59'57"W	745.74
180	S89°59'57"W	749.74
181	S89°59'57"W	753.74
182	S89°59'57"W	757.74
183	S89°59'57"W	761.74
184	S89°59'57"W	765.74
185	S89°59'57"W	769.74
186	S89°59'57"W	773.74
187	S89°59'57"W	777.74
188	S89°59'57"W	781.74
189	S89°59'57"W	785.74
190	S89°59'57"W	789.74
191	S89°59'57"W	793.74
192	S89°59'57"W	797.74
193	S89°59'57"W	801.74
194	S89°59'57"W	805.74
195	S89°59'57"W	809.74
196	S89°59'57"W	813.74
197	S89°59'57"W	817.74
198	S89°59'57"W	821.74
199	S89°59'57"W	825.74
200	S89°59'57"W	829.74

REFERENCES

- DEEDS AND PLATS SHOWN HEREON.
- PLAT DOTTED "BIRMINGHAM PLAT FOR BRUCE GARDEDALE, LLC" BY NOTE "BIRMINGHAM & SHERBORN DATED 07/17/04 AND RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF COURT OF YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA IN PLAT BOOK D041 AT PAGES 9 & 10.

LEGEND


- (D) TOTAL
- ST - SHORT TRIANGLE
- NUSS - NATURAL UNDISTURBED OPEN SPACE
- PL - PLAT BOOK
- DB - DEED BOOK
- PO - PLAT
- ST - STIPPLED PATTERN CURRENT
- PO - FOUND FROM AS NOTED
- AS - AS SHOWN FOUND
- US - LAND USE BUFFER
- RT - RIGHT OF WAY
- CONTRIBUTION
- BOUNDARY NOT SURVEYED
- ZONING ORDINANCE LINE

LOCATION MAP



AREA SUMMARY:
 RIGHT OF WAY & COMMON OPEN SPACE RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK D041 PAGES 5-7
 LOTS (28 SINGLE FAMILY) 438,428 SF
 AREA ENCOMPASSED 10,018 AC
 BY THIS PLAT 10,018 AC

ROAD LENGTHS (MEASURED AS RECORDED)
 RECORD WAY 1,780 LF
 RECORD WAY 1,813 LF
 RECORD WAY 1,846 LF
 RECORD WAY 1,879 LF
 RECORD WAY 1,912 LF
 RECORD WAY 1,945 LF
 RECORD WAY 1,978 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,011 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,044 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,077 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,110 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,143 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,176 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,209 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,242 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,275 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,308 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,341 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,374 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,407 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,440 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,473 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,506 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,539 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,572 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,605 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,638 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,671 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,704 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,737 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,770 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,803 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,836 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,869 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,902 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,935 LF
 RECORD WAY 2,968 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,001 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,034 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,067 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,100 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,133 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,166 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,199 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,232 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,265 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,298 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,331 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,364 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,397 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,430 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,463 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,496 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,529 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,562 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,595 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,628 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,661 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,694 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,727 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,760 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,793 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,826 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,859 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,892 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,925 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,958 LF
 RECORD WAY 3,991 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,024 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,057 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,090 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,123 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,156 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,189 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,222 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,255 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,288 LF
 RECORD WAY 4,321 LF



THE PROPERTY SHOWN ON THIS GENERAL MAP IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF TOWSON, MARYLAND. THE CITY OF TOWSON HAS THE RIGHT TO REVOKE OR MODIFY THIS GENERAL MAP AT ANY TIME WITHOUT NOTICE. THE CITY OF TOWSON IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS IN THIS GENERAL MAP. THE CITY OF TOWSON IS NOT GUARANTEEING THE ACCURACY OF THIS GENERAL MAP. THE CITY OF TOWSON IS NOT GUARANTEEING THE ACCURACY OF THIS GENERAL MAP.

THE PROPERTY SHOWN ON THIS GENERAL MAP IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF BELT CAY, MARYLAND. THE CITY OF BELT CAY HAS THE RIGHT TO REVOKE OR MODIFY THIS GENERAL MAP AT ANY TIME WITHOUT NOTICE. THE CITY OF BELT CAY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS IN THIS GENERAL MAP. THE CITY OF BELT CAY IS NOT GUARANTEEING THE ACCURACY OF THIS GENERAL MAP. THE CITY OF BELT CAY IS NOT GUARANTEEING THE ACCURACY OF THIS GENERAL MAP.

THE PROPERTY SHOWN ON THIS GENERAL MAP IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF BELT CAY, MARYLAND. THE CITY OF BELT CAY HAS THE RIGHT TO REVOKE OR MODIFY THIS GENERAL MAP AT ANY TIME WITHOUT NOTICE. THE CITY OF BELT CAY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS IN THIS GENERAL MAP. THE CITY OF BELT CAY IS NOT GUARANTEEING THE ACCURACY OF THIS GENERAL MAP. THE CITY OF BELT CAY IS NOT GUARANTEEING THE ACCURACY OF THIS GENERAL MAP.

Lot No.	Area (Ac)	Area (Sq Ft)
400	0.12	8,100
401	0.12	8,100
402	0.12	8,100
403	0.12	8,100
404	0.12	8,100
405	0.12	8,100
406	0.12	8,100
407	0.12	8,100
408	0.12	8,100
409	0.12	8,100
410	0.12	8,100
411	0.12	8,100
412	0.12	8,100
413	0.12	8,100
414	0.12	8,100
415	0.12	8,100
416	0.12	8,100
417	0.12	8,100
418	0.12	8,100
419	0.12	8,100
420	0.12	8,100
421	0.12	8,100
422	0.12	8,100
423	0.12	8,100
424	0.12	8,100
425	0.12	8,100
426	0.12	8,100
427	0.12	8,100
428	0.12	8,100
429	0.12	8,100
430	0.12	8,100
431	0.12	8,100
432	0.12	8,100
433	0.12	8,100
434	0.12	8,100
435	0.12	8,100
436	0.12	8,100
437	0.12	8,100
438	0.12	8,100
439	0.12	8,100
440	0.12	8,100
441	0.12	8,100
442	0.12	8,100
443	0.12	8,100
444	0.12	8,100
445	0.12	8,100
446	0.12	8,100
447	0.12	8,100
448	0.12	8,100
449	0.12	8,100
450	0.12	8,100
451	0.12	8,100
452	0.12	8,100
453	0.12	8,100
454	0.12	8,100
455	0.12	8,100
456	0.12	8,100
457	0.12	8,100
458	0.12	8,100
459	0.12	8,100
460	0.12	8,100
461	0.12	8,100
462	0.12	8,100
463	0.12	8,100
464	0.12	8,100
465	0.12	8,100
466	0.12	8,100
467	0.12	8,100
468	0.12	8,100
469	0.12	8,100
470	0.12	8,100
471	0.12	8,100
472	0.12	8,100
473	0.12	8,100
474	0.12	8,100
475	0.12	8,100
476	0.12	8,100
477	0.12	8,100
478	0.12	8,100
479	0.12	8,100
480	0.12	8,100
481	0.12	8,100
482	0.12	8,100
483	0.12	8,100
484	0.12	8,100
485	0.12	8,100
486	0.12	8,100
487	0.12	8,100
488	0.12	8,100
489	0.12	8,100
490	0.12	8,100
491	0.12	8,100
492	0.12	8,100
493	0.12	8,100
494	0.12	8,100
495	0.12	8,100
496	0.12	8,100
497	0.12	8,100
498	0.12	8,100
499	0.12	8,100
500	0.12	8,100
501	0.12	8,100
502	0.12	8,100
503	0.12	8,100
504	0.12	8,100
505	0.12	8,100
506	0.12	8,100
507	0.12	8,100
508	0.12	8,100
509	0.12	8,100
510	0.12	8,100
511	0.12	8,100
512	0.12	8,100
513	0.12	8,100
514	0.12	8,100
515	0.12	8,100
516	0.12	8,100
517	0.12	8,100
518	0.12	8,100

ESP ENGINEERING & SURVEYING, P.A.
 1200 W. BROADWAY, SUITE 1000
 CHARLOTTE, NC 28202
 (704) 375-1111
 www.esp-engineering.com

DRAKE GARDENDALE LLC
 2001 B. PATTERSON STREET, SUITE 200
 SOUTH CAROLINA
 29405
 (803) 782-4400

Lot No.	Area (Ac)	Area (Sq Ft)
400	0.12	8,100
401	0.12	8,100
402	0.12	8,100
403	0.12	8,100
404	0.12	8,100
405	0.12	8,100
406	0.12	8,100
407	0.12	8,100
408	0.12	8,100
409	0.12	8,100
410	0.12	8,100
411	0.12	8,100
412	0.12	8,100
413	0.12	8,100
414	0.12	8,100
415	0.12	8,100
416	0.12	8,100
417	0.12	8,100
418	0.12	8,100
419	0.12	8,100
420	0.12	8,100
421	0.12	8,100
422	0.12	8,100
423	0.12	8,100
424	0.12	8,100
425	0.12	8,100
426	0.12	8,100
427	0.12	8,100
428	0.12	8,100
429	0.12	8,100
430	0.12	8,100
431	0.12	8,100
432	0.12	8,100
433	0.12	8,100
434	0.12	8,100
435	0.12	8,100
436	0.12	8,100
437	0.12	8,100
438	0.12	8,100
439	0.12	8,100
440	0.12	8,100
441	0.12	8,100
442	0.12	8,100
443	0.12	8,100
444	0.12	8,100
445	0.12	8,100
446	0.12	8,100
447	0.12	8,100
448	0.12	8,100
449	0.12	8,100
450	0.12	8,100
451	0.12	8,100
452	0.12	8,100
453	0.12	8,100
454	0.12	8,100
455	0.12	8,100
456	0.12	8,100
457	0.12	8,100
458	0.12	8,100
459	0.12	8,100
460	0.12	8,100
461	0.12	8,100
462	0.12	8,100
463	0.12	8,100
464	0.12	8,100
465	0.12	8,100
466	0.12	8,100
467	0.12	8,100
468	0.12	8,100
469	0.12	8,100
470	0.12	8,100
471	0.12	8,100
472	0.12	8,100
473	0.12	8,100
474	0.12	8,100
475	0.12	8,100
476	0.12	8,100
477	0.12	8,100
478	0.12	8,100
479	0.12	8,100
480	0.12	8,100
481	0.12	8,100
482	0.12	8,100
483	0.12	8,100
484	0.12	8,100
485	0.12	8,100
486	0.12	8,100
487	0.12	8,100
488	0.12	8,100
489	0.12	8,100
490	0.12	8,100
491	0.12	8,100
492	0.12	8,100
493	0.12	8,100
494	0.12	8,100
495	0.12	8,100
496	0.12	8,100
497	0.12	8,100
498	0.12	8,100
499	0.12	8,100
500	0.12	8,100
501	0.12	8,100
502	0.12	8,100
503	0.12	8,100
504	0.12	8,100
505	0.12	8,100
506	0.12	8,100
507	0.12	8,100
508	0.12	8,100
509	0.12	8,100
510	0.12	8,100
511	0.12	8,100
512	0.12	8,100
513	0.12	8,100
514	0.12	8,100
515	0.12	8,100
516	0.12	8,100
517	0.12	8,100
518	0.12	8,100

DRAKE GARDENDALE LLC
 2001 B. PATTERSON STREET, SUITE 200
 SOUTH CAROLINA
 29405
 (803) 782-4400

DRAKE GARDENDALE LLC
 2001 B. PATTERSON STREET, SUITE 200
 SOUTH CAROLINA
 29405
 (803) 782-4400

DRAKE GARDENDALE LLC
 2001 B. PATTERSON STREET, SUITE 200
 SOUTH CAROLINA
 29405
 (803) 782-4400

DRAKE GARDENDALE LLC
 2001 B. PATTERSON STREET, SUITE 200
 SOUTH CAROLINA
 29405
 (803) 782-4400

DRAKE GARDENDALE LLC
 2001 B. PATTERSON STREET, SUITE 200
 SOUTH CAROLINA
 29405
 (803) 782-4400

